

Critical Areas Ordinance No. 2005-03
As Modified by 2007-05

INDEX

<u>Page</u>	<u>Section</u>
3	Chapter Contents (Sections)
3	16.20.010 Purpose and intent
3	A-B. (untitled)
4	C-K. (untitled)
4	16.20.020 Interpretation, procedures, and program review
4	A. Interpretation
4	B. Procedures
4	C. Non-Regulatory Program Review
5	D. Regulatory Program Review
5	16.20.030 Definitions
14	16.20.040 Applicability, exemptions, and prior development activity
14	A. Applicability
14	B. Inventory of critical areas
14	C. Exemptions
15	D. Standards for existing development
16	E. Conservation agreements
16	16.20.050 Alternatives to prescriptive buffer requirements
16	A. Intent
16	B. Buffer averaging
17	C. Habitat Management Plan
17	D. Variances
17	E. Reasonable use exceptions
17	F. Pilot Projects
17	G. Public Notice
17	16.20.060 Habitat management plan
17	A. General
17	B. Intent
17	C. Effect of buffers
18	D. Impact mitigation – general
18	E. HMP review
18	F. Map
18	G. Report
19	H. Mitigation measures
19	I. HMP adequacy
19	J. Timing
19	K. Performance surety
19	16.20.070 Variances
19	A. Applicability
20	B-E. (untitled)

21	16.20.080 Reasonable use exceptions
21	A. Applicability and intent
21	B. Exception request and review process
21	C. (untitled)
21	D. (untitled)
21	E. (untitled)
21	F. Hearing examiner review
21	G. Reasonable use review criteria
22	H. Burden of proof
22	16.20.090 Application requirements
22	A. Submittal requirements
22	B. Support information requirements
23	16.20.100 Minimizing impacts to critical areas
23	A-B. (untitled)
23	16.20.110 Mitigation plan requirements
23	A. (untitled)
24	B. Purpose of mitigation plan
24	C. Mitigation plan submittal requirements
26	D. Performance and maintenance surety and demonstration of competence.
26	E. City consultation
26	F. Permit conditions
26	16.20.120 Aquifer recharge areas
26	A. Classification
27	B. Hydrogeologic assessment
27	C. Hydrogeologic assessment requirements
27	D. Performance standards – specific uses
30	E. Prohibited uses
30	16.20.130 Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas
30	A. Purpose
30	B. Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas categories
32	C. Development standards
38	16.20.140 Frequently flooded areas
38	A. Classification
38	B. Standards
40	16.20.150 Geologically hazardous areas
40	A. General
40	B. Purpose
40	C. Classification
40	D. Minimum submittal requirements
45	E. Development standards
47	F. Exemptions
49	16.20.160 Wetlands
49	A. Purpose
50	B. Wetland categories
50	C. Regulated and non-regulated wetlands classification

51	D. Development standards
56	E. Regulated uses and activities
59	F. Additional development standards for regulated uses
63	G. Special Use Review
64	H. Wetlands and streams restoration, creation, mitigation, or enhancement
67	16.20.170 The Winslow Ravine – special rules in Mixed Use Town Center
67	A. “Option A” - Prescriptive standards
69	B. “Option B” - Mitigated standards
69	16.20.180 Performance and maintenance surety
69	A. Performance surety
69	B. Maintenance surety
70	C. Deposit instruments
70	D. Default on deposit
70	16.20.190 Notice on title
70	A. (untitled)
71	B. Form of notice
71	16.20.200 Compliance and enforcement
71	A. (untitled)
72	B. (untitled)
72	C. Restoration plan required
72	D. Minimum performance standard for restoration
72	E. Site investigations
73	F. Penalties
73	16.20.210 Suspension – Revocation
73	16.20.220 Assessment relief
73	16.20.230 Other laws and regulations
73	16.20.240 Conflict
73	A. General
73	B. Shoreline Management Master Program
75	16.20.250 Severability
75	Exhibit A

ORDINANCE NO. 2005-03
As Modified by 2007-05

AN ORDINANCE of the City of Bainbridge Island, Washington, relating to critical areas management, repealing Chapter 16.20 and adopting a new Chapter 16.20 of the Bainbridge Island Municipal Code (BIMC).

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act (GMA) primarily codified as Chapter 36.70A. RCW required the City of Bainbridge Island to designate critical areas (wetlands, areas with critical recharging effect on aquifers used for potable water, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, frequently flooded areas, and geologically hazardous areas) and to develop and adopt on or before September 1, 1991, development regulations that protect critical areas (RCW 36.70A.060 and 170 and WAC 365-195-410); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the GMA, the City of Bainbridge Island adopted Ordinance No. 92-07 on February 20, 1992, which amended the Bainbridge Island Municipal Code by adopting Chapter 16.20, Environmentally Sensitive Areas regulations; and

WHEREAS, the GMA was amended in 1995 to require all jurisdictions to include best available science in developing policies and development regulations to protect the functions and values of critical areas and to give special consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries (RCW 36.70A.172); and

WHEREAS, in response to the 1995 GMA amendments, the State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development developed and adopted six new sections to the Procedural Criteria contained in WAC 365-195-900 through 925, establishing guidance on best available science (referred to as the Best Available Science Rule), which became effective on August 27, 2000; and

WHEREAS, the Best Available Science Rule requires local governments to utilize best available science in their critical area regulation updates (consistent with

RCW 36.70A.172) and provides guidance for acquiring and evaluating scientific information to determine whether this information constitutes the best available science; and

WHEREAS, the GMA requires all jurisdictions to review, and if necessary, update their critical area regulations using best available science, by December 1, 2004 (RCW 36.70.A.130); and

WHEREAS, the City developed a public outreach process that included review of different types of critical areas by the Land Use Committee of the City Council and presentations of the drafts to the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the City contracted with Aspect Consulting to review the best available science for the management of Geologically Hazardous Areas; and

WHEREAS, the City held 28 workshops on the Critical Areas Ordinance update on March 20, 2003; February 10, 2004; March 23, 2004; August 4, 2004; December 5, 2004; January 5, 2005; March 30, 2005; April 20 and 25, 2005; May 2, 9, and 23, 2005; June 6, 20, 27, and 29, 2005; July 6, 11 and 18, 2005; September 12, 13, 14, and 26, 2005; October 3, 5, and 17, 2005; November 15 and 28, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the City formed four work groups on March 22, 2004 to advise the Land Use Committee on constrained lot development, habitat management plans, buffer widths and management, and non-regulatory incentives; and

WHEREAS, the City notified, on October 1, 2004 and on September 8, 2005, the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development of its intent to revise its development regulations relating to critical areas; and

WHEREAS, the City Council held six public hearings on the ordinance on November 17, 2004; March 23, 2005; November 2, and 9, 2005; and December 7 and 12, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that it is necessary to amend or replace Chapter 16.20 BIMC, the Critical Areas Ordinance, to incorporate the best available science ; now, therefore,

**THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BAINBRIDGE ISLAND,
WASHINGTON, DO ORDAIN, as follows:**

Section 1. Chapter 16.20 BIMC is hereby repealed.

Section 2. A new Chapter 16.20 BIMC is hereby adopted, to read as follows:

Chapter 16.20

CRITICAL AREAS

Sections:

- 16.20.010 Purpose and intent**
- 16.20.020 Interpretation, procedures, and program review**
- 16.20.030 Definitions**
- 16.20.040 Applicability, exemptions, and prior development activity**
- 16.20.050 Alternatives to prescriptive buffers**
- 16.20.060 Habitat management plans**
- 16.20.070 Variances**
- 16.20.080 Reasonable use exceptions**
- 16.20.090 Application requirements**
- 16.20.100 Minimizing impacts to critical areas**
- 16.20.110 Mitigation plan requirements**
- 16.20.120 Aquifer recharge areas**
- 16.20.130 Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas**
- 16.20.140 Frequently flooded areas**
- 16.20.150 Geologically hazardous areas**
- 16.20.160 Wetlands**
- 16.20.170 The Winslow Ravine**
- 16.20.180 Performance and maintenance surety**
- 16.20.190 Notice on title**
- 16.20.200 Compliance and enforcement**
- 16.20.210 Suspension – Revocation**
- 16.20.220 Assessment relief**
- 16.20.230 Other laws and regulations**
- 16.20.240 Conflict**
- 16.20.250 Severability**

16.20.010 Purpose and intent.

The City Council finds that aquifer recharge areas, frequently flooded areas, geologically hazardous areas, wetlands, and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas constitute critical areas that are of special concern to the City. The City's policies in regard to the natural systems and environment of Bainbridge Island, as well as the Washington Growth Management Act, Chapter 36.70 RCW, require that critical areas of Bainbridge Island be protected by establishing minimum standards for the impact of development of properties which contain or adjoin critical areas. Cumulative impacts to critical areas shall be addressed in the administration of this chapter by assuring there is no net loss of the function and values of the critical areas. It is the intent of this chapter to protect the public health, safety, and welfare by:

- A. Avoiding the impact;

B. Minimizing or limiting the degree or magnitude of the impact of an action by using appropriate technology or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;

C. Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment;

D. Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action;

E. Compensating for unavoidable impacts by replacing, enhancing or providing substitute resources or environments;

F. Reducing the potential for personal injury, loss of life, or property damage due to flooding, erosion, landslides, seismic events, or soil subsidence;

G. Protecting against publicly financed expenditures due to the misuse of critical areas which cause:

1. Unnecessary maintenance and replacement of public facilities;

2. Public funding of mitigation for avoidable impacts;

3. Cost for public emergency rescue and relief operations where the causes are avoidable; or

4. Degradation of the natural environment;

H. Preserving aquatic resources by ensuring that development activities maintain and protect aquatic resources, natural drainage systems, and water quality;

I. Protecting unique, fragile, and valuable elements of the environment, including wildlife and its habitat;

J. Alerting appraisers, assessors, owners, potential buyers, or lessees to the development limitations of critical areas; and

K. Providing City officials with sufficient information to adequately protect critical areas when approving, conditioning, or denying public or private development proposals.

16.20.020 Interpretation, procedures, and program review.

A. Interpretation. The provisions of this chapter shall be held to be minimum requirements in their interpretation and application and shall be liberally construed to serve the purposes of this chapter.

B. Procedures. The Director is authorized to adopt written procedures for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this chapter.

C. Non-Regulatory Program Review. Annually, the City Council shall review the status of the non-regulatory program and adopt a resolution implementing appropriate elements that help landowners comply with the critical areas ordinance. Elements that shall be reviewed include but are not limited to:

1. Education and Outreach Measures.

a. Develop or provide clear and understandable manuals explaining recommended best management practices for typical land owner activities;

b. Conduct seminars and presentations for interested owners and groups;

c. Coordinate efforts with existing conservation, stewardship, or small resource-user groups with expertise in wildlife or habitat area land management issues;

d. Expand local wildlife inventory information through baseline survey of local habitats and species; and

e. Perform outreach to individual property owners in critical areas who wish to develop stewardship plans to establish parameters for future activities.

2. Tax Incentive Measures. Coordinate with Kitsap County to provide incentives through the current use taxation program, and encourage land owners with critical areas to enroll their property in open space tax status and/or conservation easements.

D. Regulatory Program Review. The City Council shall review, on an annual basis, the implementation of this ordinance. The public shall be involved in the review.

Elements that shall be reviewed include, but are not limited to:

1. Administration of its provisions;
2. Impact upon critical areas protection; and
3. Collection of scientific data of local conditions.

16.20.030 Definitions.

A. For the purposes of this chapter, the following definitions shall apply:

1. "Applicant" means a person, corporation, or organization that files an application for a development permit with the City and that is either the owner of the land on which that proposed activity would be located, a contract vendee, a lessee of the land, the person who would actually control and direct the proposed activity, or the authorized agent of such a person.

2. "Aquifer recharge area" means the surface area of any geological formation sufficiently pervious to provide fresh water to an aquifer through the process of infiltration and percolation.

3. "Base flood" means a general and temporary condition of partial or complete inundation of normally dry land areas having a one percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. Base flood elevation data is commonly displayed as an elevation line on flood insurance maps, showing the location of the expected whole-foot water-surface elevation of the base (100-year) flood.

4. "Best available science" (BAS) means scientifically valid information derived in accordance with WAC 365-195-905, now or as amended hereafter, that is used to develop and implement critical areas policies or regulations.

5. "Best management practices" (BMPs) means conservation practices or systems of practices and management measures that:

a. Control soil loss and protect water quality from degradation caused by nutrients, animal waste, toxins, and sediment; and

b. Minimize adverse impacts to surface water and groundwater flow, and to the chemical, physical, and biological characteristics of critical areas.

BMPs are defined by the United States Department of Agriculture, the State of Washington Department of Agriculture, the Washington State Department of Ecology, Washington State Department of Health, Kitsap Conservation District, and other professional organizations.

6. "Buffer" means an area adjoining to and a part of a critical area that is required for the continued maintenance, functioning, and/or structural stability of that critical area, or an area adjacent to a stream or wetland that (a) surrounds and protects the functions and values of

the stream or wetland from adverse impacts, (b) is an integral part of a stream or wetland ecosystem, and (c) provides shading, input of organic debris and coarse sediments, room for variation in stream or wetland edge, habitat for wildlife, and protection from harmful intrusion, to protect the public from losses suffered when the functions and values of the wetland or stream are degraded.

7. "Category I, II, III, IV wetlands": see "Wetland category".

8. "Critical areas" means aquifer recharge areas, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, frequently flooded areas, geologically hazardous areas, and wetlands.

9. "Critical habitat" means a habitat identified by US Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service as habitat necessary for survival of endangered or threatened species.

10. "Director" means the director of the City's Planning and Community Development Department or his/her designee.

11. "Educational or scientific activities" means controlled and/or supervised scientific activities or educational activities that are associated with an educational program that is approved through a conditional use permit.

12. "Engineering geologist" means a practicing engineering geologist who has at least four years of professional employment as an engineering geologist with experience in landslide evaluation, and a Washington State specialty license in engineering geology as specified in Chapter 18.220 RCW.

13. "Erosion hazard area" means a landform or soil type subject to being worn away by the action of water, wind, freeze-thaw, or ice, and which are:

a. Rated in the Soil Survey of Kitsap County Area, Washington, USDA (1980), as having severe hazard of water erosion, including:

i. Indianola-Kitsap Complex, 45 to 70 percent slope;

ii. Kitsap Silt Loam, 15 to 30 percent slope, 30 to 45 percent slope;

iii. Ragnar Fine Sandy Loam, 15 to 30 percent slope; and

iv. Schneider very gravelly loam, 45 to 70 percent slope;

b. Classified in the Department of Ecology Coast Zone Atlas as:

i. Class 3, class U (unstable) includes severe erosion hazards and rapid surface runoff areas;

ii. Class 4, class UOS (unstable old slides) includes areas having severe limitations due to slope; and

iii. Class 5, class URS (unstable recent slides); and

c. Identified by the USGS Surface Geology Map of Bainbridge Island (Haugerud, 2001) as rilled slopes/scarps.

14. "Existing development" means a development that was lawfully constructed, approved or established prior to the effective date of the ordinance codified in this chapter.

15. "Fish" means species of the vertebrate taxonomic groups *Cephalospidomorphi* and *Osteichthyes*.

16. "Fish and wildlife habitat" means a seasonal range or habitat element with which a given species has a primary association, and which, if altered, may reduce the likelihood that the species will maintain and reproduce over the long-term. These include areas of relative density or species richness, breeding habitat, winter range, and movement corridors. These also include habitats of limited availability or high vulnerability to alteration, such as cliffs, streams and wetlands.

17. "Fisheries biologist" means a person with experience and training in fisheries who is able to submit substantially correct reports on fish population surveys, stream surveys and other related data analyses of fisheries resources. "Substantially correct" means that technical or scientific errors, if any, are minor and do not delay or affect the site plan review process. Qualifications of a fisheries biologist include:

- a. Either:
 - i. Certification by the American Fisheries Society, or;
 - ii. Bachelor of Science degree in fisheries or the biological sciences from an accredited institution and five years of professional fisheries experience; and
- b. The prior successful completion of at least three habitat management plans; and
- c. The biologist is listed on a roster of qualified professionals prepared by the Director.

18. "Frequently flooded areas" means lands subject to a one percent or greater chance of flooding in any given year, as determined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. These areas include, but are not limited to, floodplains adjacent to streams, lakes, coastal areas, and wetlands. (Also see Chapter 15.16 BIMC, Flood Damage Prevention.)

19. "Functions" means the beneficial roles served by critical areas including, but not limited to, water quality protection and enhancement, fish and wildlife habitat, food chain support, flood storage, conveyance and attenuation, groundwater recharge and discharge, erosion control, wave attenuation, aesthetic value protection, and recreation. These roles are not listed in order of priority.

20. "Geologically hazardous areas" means areas susceptible to significant erosion, sliding, or other geological events. They pose a threat to the health and safety of citizens when used as sites for incompatible commercial, residential or industrial development. Geologically hazardous areas include erosion hazard areas, landslide hazard areas, and seismic hazard areas.

21. "Geotechnical engineer" means a practicing geotechnical/civil engineer who has a valid Washington engineering license and a valid certificate of registration in civil engineering, at least four years of professional employment as a geotechnical engineer with experience in landslide evaluation, and appropriate training and experience as specified in Chapter 18.43 RCW.

22. "Habitat Management Plan" (HMP) means a report prepared by a professional wildlife biologist or fisheries biologist which discusses and evaluates critical fish and wildlife habitat functions and identifies and evaluates measures necessary to enhance and improve habitat conservation on a proposed development site.

23. "Habitat of local importance" means a seasonal range or habitat element with which a given species has a primary association, and which, if altered, may reduce the likelihood that the species will maintain their population and reproduce over the long-term. These might include areas of high relative density or species richness, breeding habitat, winter range, and movement corridors. These might also include habitats that are of limited availability or areas of high vulnerability to alteration, such as cliffs and wetlands.

24. "Hazard tree" means a tree with structural defects likely to cause failure of all or part of the tree, which could strike a "target." A target can be a building or a place where people gather such as a park bench, picnic table, street, or backyard. In the case of steep slopes, a hazard tree can also be a tree that is a hazard to stability of the slope, as determined by a geotechnical engineer.

25. "Hazardous substances" means any liquid, solid, gas, or sludge, including any material, substance, product, commodity, or waste, regardless of quantity, that exhibits any of the characteristics or criteria of hazardous waste as specified in RCW 70.105.010. (Also see BIMC 18.06.450 through 18.06.510).

26. "Hydric soil" means soil which is saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part.

27. "Hydrogeologist" means a practicing hydrogeologist who has at least four years of professional employment as a hydrogeologist with experience in the specific subject area in which they are providing a report, and a Washington specialty license in hydrogeology as specified in RCW Chapter 18.220.

28. "Hydrophyte or hydrophytic vegetation" means plant life growing in water or on a substrate that is at least periodically deficient in oxygen as a result of excessive water content. The presence of hydrophytic vegetation shall be determined following the methods described in the "Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual (Department of Ecology publication #96-94)" or its most current edition.

29. "Impact of land use" means the relative measure of the intensity of land use used to determine the appropriate buffer widths for wetlands and streams which is categorized as follows:

a. High impact land use includes commercial development, industrial development, institutional development, residential (more than one unit per acre) development, new agriculture (high-intensity such as dairies, nurseries, greenhouses, raising and harvesting crops requiring annual tilling, raising and maintaining animals), and high-intensity recreation such as golf courses and ballfields.

b. Moderate impact land use includes residential development (1 unit/acre or less), new agriculture (moderate-intensity such as orchard and hay fields), paved trails, and building of logging roads.

c. Low impact land use includes low-intensity open space such as passive recreation, natural resources preservation, and unpaved trails.

30. "Invasive/exotic species" means plants and animals that are not native to the Puget Sound lowlands and are recognized by wetland professionals or biologists to be highly competitive with native vegetation and animals. Invasive/exotic plant species include those listed on the noxious weed list developed by the Washington State Noxious

Weed Board, nonnative blackberries and English ivy. Invasive/exotic animal species include any species, such as rats, bullfrogs, zebra mussels and green crabs, considered by resource professionals to be damaging to the native animal populations.

31. "Land divisions" means any of the following: Subdivisions (Chapter 17.04 BIMC); Short Subdivisions (Chapter 17.12 BIMC); and/or Large Lot Plats (Chapter 17.16 BIMC).

32. "Land Use Permit" means any of the following: Planned Unit Developments (Chapter 18.120 BIMC); Conditional Use Permits (Chapter 18.108 BIMC); and/or Site Plan Review (Chapter 18.105 BIMC).

33. "Landslide hazard areas" means areas which are potentially subject to risk of mass movement due to a combination of factors, including historic failures, geologic, topographic, and hydrologic features. Some of these areas are identified in the Department of Ecology Coastal Zone Atlas and USGS Surface Geology Map of Bainbridge Island (Haugerud, 2001). The presence of these factors shall be determined through assessment, by the least intrusive means, by the City Engineer or at the City Engineer's request by a third party geotechnical expert, prior to issuance of any permit. Landslide hazard areas include the following:

- a. Areas characterized by slopes greater than 15 percent having springs or groundwater seepage and having impermeable soils (typically silt and clay) overlain or frequently interbedded with permeable granular soils (predominantly sand and gravel);
- b. Any area potentially unstable due to rapid stream incision or stream bank erosion;
- c. Any area located on an alluvial fan, debris flow deposit, or in a debris flowpath, presently or potentially subject to impacts or inundation by debris flows or deposition of stream-transported sediments;
- d. Any area with a slope of 40 percent or greater and with a vertical relief of 10 or more feet except areas composed of competent consolidated rock;
- e. Any area designated or mapped as class U, UOS, or URS by the Department of Ecology Coastal Zone Atlas and/or mapped as a landslide or scarp on the USGS Surface Geology Map of Bainbridge Island (Haugerud, 2001); or
- ~~f. Any area within 50 feet of the top, toe, or sides of any of the above landslide hazard areas, except debris flow areas or stream deposition areas. This 50 foot area may be expanded in special cases at the discretion of the City Engineer.~~

34. "Liquefaction" means a process in which a water-saturated soil, upon shaking, suddenly loses strength and behaves as a fluid.

35. "Mitigation" includes:
- a. Avoiding, minimizing or compensating for adverse impacts, in the following order of preference:
 - i. Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
 - ii. Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation, by using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;
 - iii. Rectifying the impacts by repairing, rehabilitating or restoring the affected environment;
 - iv. Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action;
 - v. Compensating for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources or environments; and
 - vi. Monitoring the impact and the compensation project and taking appropriate corrective measures. Mitigation for individual actions may include a combination of the above measures; and
 - b. The following specific categories:
 - i. Mitigation, Compensatory: replacing project-induced critical area losses or impacts, including, but not limited to, establishment, re-establishment, rehabilitation or enhancement.
 - ii. Mitigation, Establishment: Mitigation performed to intentionally establish a critical area (e.g., wetland) at a site where it does not currently exist.
 - iii. Mitigation, Re-Establishment: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former critical area.
 - iv. Mitigation, Rehabilitation: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of repairing natural or historic functions and processes to a degraded critical area.
 - v. Mitigation, Enhancement: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a biological wetland to heighten, intensify or improve specific function(s) or to change for specific purposes such as water quality improvement, flood water retention, or wildlife habitat.

36. "Native or equivalent vegetation" means species which are indigenous to the Puget Sound lowlands ecoregion; or a species that is equivalent in providing the same site-specific functional arrays as would the native species and which is selected from a list of preferred acceptable equivalent vegetation prepared by the Planning Department. Functional arrays may include forage, floodwater restraint, hiding habitat, or other physical or biologic roles in the ecosystem, that singly or in combinations correspond to those of the native species. As with natives, the role mix of an alternative species may vary depending on the site and its surrounding ecosystem. Invasive/exotic species shall not be considered equivalent species.

37. "Normal maintenance" means those usual acts to prevent a decline, lapse or cessation from a lawfully established condition. Normal maintenance includes removing

debris from and cutting or manual removal of vegetation in crossing and bridge areas. Normal maintenance does *not* include:

- a. Use of fertilizer or pesticide application in wetlands, Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas, or their buffers;
- b. Re-digging ditches in wetlands or their buffers to expand the depth and width beyond the original ditch dimensions;
- c. Re-digging existing drainage ditches in order to drain wetlands on lands not classified as existing and ongoing agriculture under BIMC 16.20.040(C) (Exemptions).

38. "Open space" means undeveloped areas of varied size. Open space often contains distinctive geologic, botanic, zoologic, historic, scenic or other critical area, or natural resource land features.

39. Ordinary high water mark: See the Shoreline Master Program (Chapter 16.12 BIMC), Section II, Definitions.

40. "Ravine" means a V-shaped landform generally having little to no floodplain and normally containing steep slopes, which is deeper than 10 vertical feet as measured from the centerline of the ravine to the top of the slope. Ravines are typically created by the wearing action of streams. The top of the slope is determined where there is a significant change in the slope to generally less than a 15 percent slope.

41. "Reasonable alternative" means an activity that could feasibly attain or approximate a proposal's objectives, but at a lower environmental cost or decreased level of environmental degradation.

42. "Reasonable use exception (RUE)" is a means of relief that is available for a property that is encumbered to such an extent by critical areas and/or water quality buffers that application of this chapter would deny all reasonable use of the subject property, as further defined by the decision criteria of BIMC 16.20.080.G, and reasonable use of a property cannot be achieved through any other means.

43. "Repair" means activities that restore the character, size, or scope of a project only to the previously authorized condition.

44. "Seismic hazard areas" means areas subject to severe risk of damage as a result of seismic induced ground shaking, or surface faulting. While ground shaking is the principal risk because the entire island will shake significantly, severe damage will occur where slope failure, liquefaction, and settlement are induced by the shaking and surface rupture is created by fault movement. The following areas are considered seismic hazard areas:

- a. Seismic Landslide Hazard Areas - Slopes which are stable in non-earthquake periods, but fail and slide during ground shaking;
- b. Liquefaction Hazard Areas - Areas of cohesionless, loose or soft, saturated soils of low density in association with a shallow groundwater table that are subject to settlement and/or liquefaction from ground shaking, or;
- c. Fault Hazard Areas - Areas of known surface rupture or significant surface deformation as a result of an active fault movement, including 50 feet on either side.

45. "Site" means the entire lot, series of lots, or parcels on which a development is located or proposed to be located, including all contiguous undeveloped lots or parcels under common ownership.

46. "Streams" means those areas in the City of Bainbridge Island where the surface water flows are sufficient to produce a defined channel or bed. A defined channel or bed is an area which demonstrates clear evidence of the passage of water and includes but is not limited to bedrock channels, gravel beds, sand and silt beds, and defined-channel swales. The channel or bed need not contain water year-round. This definition is not meant to include irrigation ditches, canals, storm or surface water runoff devices, or other artificial watercourses unless they are used by fish or used to convey streams naturally occurring prior to construction.

47. "Stream Types" means a streams classification system based on fish usage and perennial or seasonal water regime as found in WAC 222-16-030 and meeting the standards listed below.

a. "Type F Stream" means a stream that has suitable fish habitat. If fish usage has not been determined, water having the following characteristics are presumed to have fish use: Streams segments having a defined channel of 2 feet or greater within the bankfull width and having a gradient of 16 percent or less. Determination of fish usage shall use the methodology found in Washington Department of Natural Resource's Forest Practice Board Manual, Section 13.

b. "Type Np" means all segments of natural waters within the bankfull width of defined channels that are perennial nonfish habitat streams. Perennial streams are waters that do not go dry any time of a year of normal rainfall. However, for the purpose of water typing, Type Np Waters include the intermittent dry portions of the perennial channel below the uppermost point of perennial flow.

c. "Type Ns" means all segments of natural waters within the bankfull width of the defined channels that are not Type S, F, or Np Waters. These are seasonal, nonfish habitat streams in which surface flow is not present for at least some portion of a year of normal rainfall and are not located downstream from any stream reach that is a Type Np Water. Ns Waters must be physically connected by an above-ground channel system to marine waters, Type F, or Np Waters.

48. "Urban natural open space" means an open space that (a) a priority species either resides within, or resides adjacent to and uses the open space for breeding and/or regular feeding; and/or (b) functions as a corridor connecting other priority habitats, especially those that would otherwise be isolated; and/or (c) is an isolated remnant of natural habitat larger than 10 acres and is surrounded by urban development.

49. "Variance" means relief from the provisions of the habitat buffer standards for wetlands or fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, ~~or provisions of section 16.20.150(E) (2) (a) pertaining to setbacks from slopes classified as a geologically hazardous area, where strict application of this chapter renders compliance with these provisions an unnecessary hardship by strict application of this Chapter, as provided for in BIMC 16.20.070.~~

50. "Wetland" means areas that are inundated or saturated by surface water or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions, as defined in Department of Ecology publication #96-94, Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual or the current Washington State Department of Ecology methodology. Wetlands generally include swamps, estuaries, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

51. "Wetland boundary" means the boundary or edge of a wetland as delineated using the methodology found in Department of Ecology publication #96-94, Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual or Washington State Department of Ecology current methodology.

52. "Wetland category" means category as defined in "Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington, Revised," Department of Ecology publication #04-06-025, or as revised and adopted by the department.

53. "Wetland classes" means the classification system of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Cowardin, et al. 1979).

54. Wetland Mitigation.

a. In-kind: To replace wetlands with substitute wetlands whose characteristics closely approximate those destroyed or degraded by a regulated activity. It does not mean replacement "in-category."

b. Off-site: To replace wetlands away from the site on which a wetland has been impacted by a regulated activity.

c. On-site: To replace wetlands at or adjacent to the site on which a wetland has been impacted by a regulated activity.

d. Out-of-kind: To replace wetlands with substitute wetlands whose characteristics do not closely approximate those destroyed or degraded by a regulated activity. It does not refer to replacement "out-of-category."

55. Wetlands, Regulated.

a. "Regulated wetlands" means:

i. All Category I and II wetlands;

ii. All Category III and Category IV wetlands that are greater than 1,000 square feet;

b. Category I, II, III and IV wetlands include:

i. Lands defined as wetlands shall be those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface water or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.

ii. Wetlands created as mitigation and wetlands modified for approved land use activities.

c. Regulated wetlands do not include artificial wetlands intentionally created from nonwetland sites, including, but not limited to, irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, and landscape amenities, or those wetlands created after July 1, 1990 that were unintentionally created as a result of the construction of a road, street, or highway.

56. "Wetlands specialist" means a person with experience and training in wetland issues who is able to submit substantially correct reports on wetland delineations, classifications, functional assessments and mitigation plans. "Substantially correct" means that errors, if any, are minor and do not delay or affect the site plan review process. Qualifications of a wetlands specialist include:

a. Either:

i. Certification as a Professional Wetland Scientist (PWS) or Wetland Professional in Training (WPIT) through the Society of Wetland Scientists, or;

ii. Bachelor of science degree in the biological sciences from an accredited institution and five years of professional field experience; and

b. The prior successful completion of at least three wetland reports; and

c. The specialist is listed on a roster of qualified professionals prepared by the Director.

57. "Wildlife biologist" means a person with experience and training in the principles of wildlife management and with practical knowledge in the habits, distribution and environmental management of wildlife. Qualifications include:

a. Either:

i. Certification as a professional wildlife biologist through The Wildlife Society, or;

ii. Bachelor of science or bachelor of arts degree in wildlife management, wildlife biology, ecology, zoology, or a related field, from an accredited institution and five years of professional field experience; and

b. The prior successful completion of at least three habitat managements plans; and

c. The biologist is listed on a roster of qualified professionals prepared by the Director.

58. "Zone of Influence" means an area, usually upslope from a geologically hazardous area, where changes in land use and hydrology can affect the stability of the geologically hazardous area. The zone of influence is defined as 300 feet upslope from slopes greater than 40 percent, and 200 feet upslope from slopes greater than 15 percent but less than 40 percent that are determined to be geologically hazardous areas.

16.20.040 Applicability, exemptions, and prior development activity.

A. **Applicability.** This chapter establishes regulations for the protection of sites which contain critical areas or are adjacent to sites which contain critical areas. Development and land use activities proposed on critical area sites shall comply with the provisions of this chapter. No action shall be taken by any person, company, agency, governmental body (including the City), or applicant, which results in any alteration of a critical area except as consistent with the purposes, requirements, objectives, and goals of this chapter.

B. **Inventory of Critical Areas.** This chapter shall apply to all critical areas located within the jurisdiction of the city. The approximate location and extent of these areas on Bainbridge Island is displayed on various inventory maps available at the city's Department of Planning and Community Development. Maps and inventory lists are

guides to the general location and extent of critical areas. Critical areas not shown are presumed to exist on Bainbridge Island and are protected under all the provisions of this chapter. In the event that any of the designations shown on the maps or inventory lists conflict with the site-specific conditions, site-specific conditions shall control.

C. Exemptions. The following activities are exempt from the requirements of this chapter:

1. Emergencies that threaten the public health, safety and welfare. An "emergency" is an unanticipated and immediate threat to public health, safety, or the environment which requires action within a time too short to allow compliance with this chapter. Restoration or mitigation of critical areas and buffers impacted by emergency action shall be required in a timely matter.
2. Ongoing agricultural activities using best management practices as included in a farm management plan developed by the Kitsap Conservation District.
3. Normal and routine maintenance of structures, landscaping and vegetation that will not further impact or alter critical areas or buffers.
4. Normal and routine maintenance and operation of pre-existing retention/detention facilities, biofilters and other stormwater management facilities, irrigation and drainage ditches, farm ponds, fish ponds, manure lagoons, and livestock water ponds; provided, that such activities shall not involve conversion of any wetland not currently being used for such activity. Any maintenance of ponds located in stream habitat areas shall require appropriate approval from the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.
 5. Structural alterations to buildings that do not increase the structural footprint or introduce new adverse impacts to an adjacent critical area, except for structures located on geologically hazardous areas which are not exempt.
6. Normal and routine maintenance or repair of existing utility structures within a right-of-way or existing utility corridor or easements, including the cutting, removal and/or mowing of vegetation.
7. Forest practices conducted pursuant to Chapter 76.09 RCW, except Class IV (general conversions) and Conversion Option Harvest Plans (COHP).
8. Activities within a portion of a wetland buffer or fish and wildlife habitat area buffer located landward of an existing, substantially developed area, such as a paved area, or permanent structure, which eliminates or greatly reduces the impact of the proposed activities on the wetland or fish and wildlife habitat area. The Director shall review the proposal to determine the likelihood of associated impacts.
9. Hazard Tree Removal. Where a threat to human life, property, or slope stability is demonstrated, the Director may allow removal of danger or hazard trees subject to the following criteria:
 - a. Tree removal is the minimum necessary to balance protection of the critical area and its buffer with protection of life and property; and
 - b. The critical area or its buffer shall be replanted as determined by the Director.

The Director shall coordinate review with the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife as determined necessary to assure habitat protection. The Director may require the applicant to consult with a professional forester or a certified arborist prior to tree removal. Hazard tree abatement can sometimes be achieved by felling the tree or trimming the tree. Habitat needs may require leaving the fallen tree in the riparian corridor or maintaining a high stump for wildlife habitat.

10. Aquifer recharge areas. A person, or property, shall be exempt from the provisions of this chapter unless either of the following is true:

- a. The property is located in a fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, frequently flooded area, geologically hazardous area, and/or wetland; or
- b. One or more of the uses identified in BIMC 16.20.120.B-E are proposed.

D. Standards for existing development.

1. Existing structures and related improvements. Structures and related improvements that were legally built or vested prior to the effective date of Ordinance No. 2005-03 that do not meet the setback or buffer requirements of this chapter may continue to exist in their present form, and may be altered, including remodeled, reconstructed, or expanded, if such alteration complies with the provisions of this Section.

2. Existing structures, not located in a geologically hazardous area, that were legally built or vested prior to the effective date of Ordinance No. 2005-03 may be altered if:

- a. There is no change in the footprint of the building;
- b. The remodel is entirely inside the existing building;
- c. There is no further encroachment into the buffers required pursuant to this chapter unless a Variance is first approved; or
- d. Any expansion of the building footprint is exclusively on the sides that do not touch the buffers.

3. Existing property improvements other than structures, including driveways, parking areas, yards, play areas, storage areas, and similar improvements that were legally established or vested prior to the effective date of Ordinance No. 2005-03 may be altered if:

- a. There is no change in the location of the improvement;
- b. Any alteration of the improvement is entirely inside of the existing boundaries of the improvement;
- c. There is no further encroachment into the buffers unless a Variance is first approved; or
- d. Any increase in the area of the improvement is exclusively on the sides that do not touch the buffers.

4. Alterations permitted by this Section shall not be exempt from applicable City review or permit requirements or other applicable City codes.

E. Conservation Agreements. The City will honor conservation agreements on regulated wetlands that were improved as a result of collaborative enhancement, restoration, or conservation projects. Where enhancements or restorations are made to

wetlands for purposes other than mitigation, the original wetland rating shall be maintained, even if the changes result in a higher classification.

16.20.050 Prescriptive buffers variations.

A. Intent. The City recognizes that in some cases it may not be possible to provide a critical area buffer that meets the dimensions prescribed by this ordinance, due to land area or other constraints. The City further recognizes that in some cases the desired or better critical area protection can be achieved through alternative approaches.

This section provides alternatives that can be pursued in lieu of the prescribed buffers when warranted by site-specific conditions. In considering an application for any of these alternatives, it shall always be the primary intent of the City to protect the functions and values of the critical areas. It is further the intent of the City to ensure that the application of the provisions of this chapter does not deprive an owner from reasonable use of their property.

Any proposed use of the following alternatives shall be supported by analysis utilizing best available science, to determine and minimize the impacts of the alternative:

B. Buffer Averaging. If characteristics of the property do not allow reasonable use with prescribed buffers, the Director may allow wetland and/or fish and wildlife conservation area buffer widths to be averaged. It is intended that the process for reviewing a buffer averaging proposal be as simple as possible, while ensuring that the following criteria are met:

1. The total area contained within the buffer after averaging shall be no less than that contained within the standard buffer prior to averaging;
2. The applicant demonstrates that such averaging will clearly provide greater protection of the functions and values of critical areas than would be provided by the prescribed habitat buffers.
3. The averaging will not result in reduced buffers next to highly sensitive habitat areas; and
4. The applicant demonstrates one or more of the following:
 - a. That the wetland contains variations in sensitivity due to existing physical characteristics;
 - b. That only low intensity uses would be located within 200 feet of areas where the buffer width is reduced, and that such low intensity uses restrictions are guaranteed in perpetuity by covenant, deed restriction, easement, or other legally binding mechanism; or
 - c. That buffer averaging is necessary to avoid an extraordinary hardship to the applicant caused by circumstances peculiar to the property.

C. Habitat Management Plan. A Habitat Management Plan may be prepared pursuant to BIMC 16.20.060 when it can clearly be demonstrated that greater protection of the functions and values of critical areas can be achieved through the HMP than could be achieved through providing the prescribed habitat buffers. A Habitat Management Plan may be used as a means to protect wetland and/or fish and wildlife habitat conservation area buffers. Habitat Management Plans may not be used to reduce the water quality buffers for wetlands and/or fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.

D. Variances. Variances to reduce the prescribed habitat buffers for wetlands and/or fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas may be pursued pursuant to BIMC 16.20.070. Variances may not be used to reduce the prescribed water quality buffers for wetlands and/or fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.

E. Reasonable Use Exceptions. If the application of this chapter would deny all reasonable use of the subject property, the property owner may apply for an exception pursuant to BIMC 16.20.080.

F. Pilot Projects. The City Council may, by Resolution, establish a site specific pilot project in partnership with an applicant that encourages the applicant to undertake creative, non-standard efforts that were not envisioned during the development of this chapter, but through which a greater conservation of critical areas will be achieved; provided that such project will satisfy the intent of this chapter as expressed in BIMC 16.20.010, and will provide greater protection of the functions and values of affected critical areas and their buffers. The applicant will cooperate with the City through the permitting and SEPA review process, and the Council will develop criteria for its review as well as a public process for the Council Resolution.

G. Public notice. Appropriate notice of, and opportunity to comment on, the proposed use of any of the foregoing alternatives shall be given to surrounding property owners and the general public, in a manner to be established by the Director.

16.20.060 Habitat management plan.

A. General. A Habitat Management Plan shall comply with the requirements of this Section, and shall clearly demonstrate that greater protection of the functions and values of critical areas can be achieved through the HMP than could be achieved through providing the prescribed habitat buffers. The Director shall prepare performance standards and monitoring guidelines for Habitat Management Plans, including a program for City oversight of such plans. Once the standards and guidelines are in place, an applicant may propose to implement an HMP as a means to protect habitat buffers associated with wetlands and/or fish and wildlife conservation areas.

B. Intent. HMPs are primarily intended as a means to restore or improve buffers that have been degraded by past activity, and should preserve, and not reduce, existing high quality habitat buffers. While not primarily intended as a means to reduce buffers, the HMP may propose a reduction of the habitat buffer width where it is shown that the HMP will comply with the other requirements of this Section. An HMP shall not reduce the prescribed water quality buffer width as listed in BIMC 16.20.130 and 16.20.160 under any circumstance.

C. Effect of buffers. An HMP shall provide habitat functions and values that are greater than would be provided by the prescribed habitat buffers. When habitat buffers are a component of an HMP, they shall be at least the minimum size necessary to accomplish the objectives of the HMP. The HMP may propose, but the City shall not require, a habitat buffer containing a greater area than is required by the prescribed habitat buffer.

D. Impact mitigation - general. The HMP shall encompass an area large enough to provide mitigation for buffer reduction below the standard required buffers, and shall

identify how the development impacts resulting from the proposed project will be mitigated. The developer of the plan shall use the best available science in all facets of the analyses. The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Priority Habitat and Species Management Recommendations, dated May 1991, and/or bald eagle protection rules outlined in WAC 232-12-292, as now or hereafter amended, may serve as guidance for this report. For Habitat Management Plans addressing wetland buffers, Method for Assessing Wetland Functions, Ecology Publication #99-116 shall be used for guidance in determining function equivalency.

E. HMP review. All Habitat Management Plans shall be submitted to the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife habitat biologist and to the Suquamish Tribe for review and comment. Within that same time frame, the City's Environmental Technical Advisory Committee shall be asked to review the HMP and provide comments.

F. Map. The Habitat Management Plan shall contain a map prepared at an easily readable scale, showing:

1. The location of the proposed development site;
2. Property boundaries;
3. The relationship of the site to surrounding topographic, water features, and cultural features;
4. Proposed building locations and arrangements;
5. A legend which includes a complete legal description, acreage of the parcel, scale, north arrow, and date of map revision.

G. Report. The Habitat Management Plan shall also contain a report which contains:

1. A description of the nature and intensity of the proposed development;
2. An analysis of the effect of the proposed development, activity or land use change upon the wildlife species and habitat identified for protection. If the Habitat Management Plan is addressing wetland habitat, the analysis shall compare an assessment of wildlife habitat suitability of the wetland applying standard buffers with an assessment of habitat suitability as proposed using *Method for Assessing Wetland Functions*, Washington State Department of Ecology (if available for the specific Hydrogeomorphic classification); and

3. A plan which identifies how the applicant proposes to mitigate any adverse impacts to wildlife habitats created by the proposed development. For wetland or other habitats protected by this chapter, the application shall show, using the appropriate function assessment methodology, that habitat functions and values are greater after the development than would occur had the prescribed buffers been provided (see Mitigation Plan requirements, Section 16.20.110).

4. All review comments received from a habitat biologist from the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) and the Suquamish Tribe. If the HMP recommends mitigation involving federally listed threatened or endangered species, migratory waterfowl or wetlands, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service shall receive a copy of the draft HMP.

5. For properties subject to the provisions of the City's Shoreline Master Program, Chapter 16.12 BIMC, a site specific analysis of the project's impact on

shoreline fish and wildlife habitat, as currently required by the SMMP, utilizing the provisions for environmentally sensitive areas as provided in Exhibit A.

6. The HMP shall specifically address, as appropriate, the following:
 - a. Enhancement of existing degraded buffer area and replanting of the disturbed buffer area with native or equivalent vegetation;
 - b. The use of alternative on-site wastewater systems in order to minimize site clearing;
 - c. Infiltration of stormwater where soils permit;
 - d. Retention of existing native or equivalent vegetation on other portions of the site in order to offset habitat loss from buffer reduction; and
 - e. The need for fencing and signage along the buffer edge.

H. Mitigation measures. Possible mitigation measures to be included in the report, or required by the Director, could include, but are not limited to:

1. Establishment of buffer zones;
2. Preservation of critically important plants and trees;
3. Limitation of access to habitat areas;
4. Seasonal restriction of construction activities;
5. Establishing phased development requirements; and
6. Monitoring plan for a period necessary to establish that performance standards have been met. Generally this will be for a period of seven to ten years.

I. HMP adequacy. The HMP shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Director that the habitat functions and values are improved by implementation of the HMP. If there is a disagreement between the Director and the applicant as to the adequacy of the HMP, the issue of plan adequacy shall be resolved by consulting with the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife for HMPs relating to streams or the Washington Department of Ecology for HMPs relating to wetlands. If the State agencies are not available in a timely manner, the applicant may choose to have the City refer the HMPs to a third party consultant at the expense of the applicant. After consultation with such State departments or third party consultant, the Director shall make a final decision on the adequacy of the HMP.

J. Timing. An HMP must be developed and approved either prior to preliminary plat approval or issuance of the building permit, as applicable, and must be implemented before the City grants either final plat approval or an occupancy permit, as applicable.

K. Performance Surety. The Director may require that the applicant provide a performance surety to ensure conformance with mitigation requirements of the habitat management plan pursuant to BIMC 16.20.180.

16.20.070 Variances

A. Applicability. An applicant may seek a variance from habitat buffer standards for wetlands or fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, ~~or provisions of section 16.20.150(E)(2)(a) pertaining to setbacks from slopes classified as a geologically hazardous area,~~ where application of the standards renders compliance with these provisions an unnecessary hardship. A variance is authorized primarily for relief from wetland and stream habitat buffer standards that result in greater reduction than can be

achieved through the buffer averaging in Subsection 16.20.050(B) above. A variance will not be allowed for reduction of the water quality buffers. Alterations specific to wetlands and streams and their water quality buffers are processed pursuant to a reasonable use exception, BIMC 16.20.080.

B. A variance may be granted by the Director when it can be shown that the application meets all of the following criteria:

1. Special circumstances applicable to the subject property, including size, shape, or topography, and the strict application of this chapter is found to deprive the subject property of rights and privileges enjoyed by other properties in the vicinity; provided, however, the fact that those surrounding properties have been developed under regulations in force prior to the adoption of Ordinance No. 2005-03 shall not be the sole basis for the granting of a variance; and

2. The special circumstances referred to in Subsection (a) above are not the result of the actions of the current or previous owner; and

3. The granting of the variance will not result in substantial detrimental impact to the critical area, public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity and area in which the property is situated; and

4. The granting of the variance is the minimum necessary to accommodate the permitted use; and

5. No other practicable or reasonable alternative exists; and

6. A mitigation plan has been submitted and is approved for the proposed use of the critical area; and

7. If structures are the approved use for which the variance is applied, the structures shall be no greater than the minimum size necessary to accommodate the permitted use; and

8. Retention of existing native or equivalent vegetation in other portions of the site is provided in order to offset habitat loss from buffer reduction; and

9. A Habitat Management Plan has been prepared pursuant to BIMC 16.20.060, unless it is determined through the applicable review process that such a plan is unnecessary.

C. Requests for variances shall include the application requirements of BIMC 16.20.060, 16.20.090, 16.20.110, or 16.20.150, whichever is applicable, and payment of an appropriate application fee.

D. The Director shall administratively review variances based on the criteria and standards referenced in this Section.

E. The Director may grant a variance from the substantive or procedural requirements of this chapter for the development of public utilities when:

1. The application of the requirements of this chapter would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan or the utility's public service obligations; and,

2. The proposed utility activity does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public health, safety or welfare on or off the development proposal site; and,

3. Any alterations to critical areas and buffers are the minimum necessary to reasonably accommodate the proposed utility activity and any impacts are mitigated.

16.20.080 Reasonable use exceptions

A. **Applicability and Intent.** A reasonable use exception (RUE) is intended to ensure reasonable use of a property when reasonable use of that property cannot be achieved through any other means. An applicant may request an RUE pursuant to this Section if the applicant demonstrates that:

1. The subject property is encumbered to such an extent by critical areas and/or water quality buffers that application of this chapter would deny all reasonable use of the subject property; and

2. Reasonable use of the subject property cannot be achieved through Buffer Averaging (BIMC 16.20.050.B), a Habitat Management Plan (BIMC 16.20.060), or a Variance (BIMC 16.20.070).

B. **Exception Request and Review Process.** An application for an RUE shall be made to the City and shall include a critical area report, including mitigation plan, if necessary; and any other relevant information and reports that are necessary, in the opinion of the Director, to process and prepare the recommendation on the application, such as permit applications to other agencies, special studies, and environmental documents prepared pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act, Chapter 43.21C RCW (SEPA documents).

C. After receiving the application, the Director shall determine whether the property qualifies for inclusion in any program established by the City that would eliminate the need for an RUE including, but not limited to, programs regarding transfer of development rights, mitigation banking, and open space acquisition. If the property qualifies for inclusion in one or more of such programs, the Director shall notify the applicant in writing of such qualification and of the applicable rules and regulations, and shall send an application form for inclusion in such program(s). If the property is included in one or more of such programs, the application shall be further processed as amended or withdrawn, as appropriate.

D. After receiving the application, the City may, at its sole discretion, offer to purchase the development rights rather than grant an RUE, and the applicant, at his/her sole discretion, may agree to accept the offer to purchase said development rights rather than pursue an RUE.

E. The Director shall prepare a recommendation to the Hearing Examiner based on review of the submitted information and reports, a site inspection, and the proposal's compliance with the criteria in Subsection (G), below.

F. **Hearing Examiner Review.** The hearing examiner shall review the RUE application and conduct a public hearing pursuant to the provisions of the BIMC 2.16.100. The Hearing Examiner shall approve, approve with conditions, or deny the request based on the proposal's compliance with all of the RUE review criteria in Subsection (G), below.

G. **Reasonable Use Review Criteria.** Criteria for review and approval of reasonable use exceptions are as follows:

1. The application of this chapter would deny all reasonable use of the property;
2. There is no reasonable alternative to the proposal;

3. The proposed impact to the critical area is the minimum necessary to allow reasonable use of the property;
4. The inability of the applicant to derive reasonable use of the property is not the result of actions by the applicant, or of the applicant's predecessor, that occurred after February 20, 1992;
5. The proposed total lot coverage does not exceed 1,200 square feet;
6. The proposal does not pose an unreasonable threat to the public health, safety, or welfare on or off the property;
7. Any net loss of critical area functions and values, as determined by a qualified consultant through the application of best available science, will be mitigated on-site to the extent feasible if off-site options are not available. If off-site options, such as a fee-in-lieu or mitigation banking program, are available, the mix of on-site and off-site mitigation should be based on recommendations of the consultant; and
8. A Habitat Management Plan has been prepared pursuant to BIMC 16.20.060, unless it is determined through the applicable review process that such a plan is unnecessary; and
9. The proposal is consistent with other applicable regulations and standards.

H. Burden of Proof. The burden of proof shall be on the applicant to bring forth evidence in support of the application and to provide sufficient information on which any decision has to be made on the application. The standard for the burden of proof shall be clear and convincing evidence.

16.20.090 Application requirements.

A. Submittal Requirements. Applications for land use or development proposals within critical areas or their buffers shall be filed with the information requested on the application forms available from the Department of Planning and Community Development. The applicant shall not be granted any approval or permission to conduct development or land use in a critical area and/or its buffer prior to fulfilling the requirements of this chapter.

B. Support Information Requirements. When support information is required by the Director it shall contain the following and be prepared by one or more of the experts listed in Subsection B.4 of this section:

1. A description of the critical areas on or adjoining the site and how the proposed development will or will not impact critical areas, their buffers, and adjoining properties, including:
 - a. Drainage, surface and subsurface hydrology, and water quality;
 - b. Existing vegetation as it relates to wetlands, steep slopes, soil stability, and fish and wildlife habitat value; and
 - c. Other critical area characteristics and functions.
2. Recommended methods for mitigating impacts and a description of how these methods may impact adjacent properties;
3. Any additional information determined as relevant by the Director;
4. Such studies shall be prepared by experts in the area of concern, who shall be selected from a list of approved consultants prepared by the Director, as follows:

- a. Aquifer recharge study: Hydrogeologist;
 - b. Flood hazard area study: Professional civil engineer; hydro-geologist;
 - c. Geologically hazardous area study: Engineering geologist; geotechnical engineer, provided that:
 - i. An engineering geologist may provide a study, including interpretation, evaluation, analysis, and application of geological information and data and may predict potential or likely changes in types and rates of surficial geologic processes due to proposed changes to a location, provided it does not contain recommended methods for mitigating identified impacts, other than avoidance, structural impacts to, or suitability of civil works; and
 - ii. Engineering geologists may not provide engineering recommendations or design recommendations, but may contribute to a complete geotechnical report that is co-sealed by a geotechnical engineer.
 - d. Stream, riparian area, drainage corridor study: Biologist with stream ecology expertise; fish or wildlife biologist; a civil engineer may provide studies for drainage, surface and subsurface hydrology, and water quality;
 - e. Wetland study: Wetlands specialist.
 - f. Habitat Management Plans: Wildlife biologist and/or fisheries biologist.
5. The Director may in some cases retain experts at the applicant's expense to assist in the review of studies; and
6. Such studies shall be prepared in accordance with procedures established by the Director or City Engineer as specified.

16.20.100 Minimizing impacts to critical areas.

- A. If the Director determines that negative impacts to critical areas are necessary and unavoidable, the applicant shall take measures to minimize the impacts.
- B. Such measures shall include but are not limited to:
 - 1. Limiting the degree or magnitude of the regulated activity;
 - 2. Limiting the implementation of the regulated activity;
 - 3. Using appropriate and best available technology;
 - 4. Taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;
 - 5. Sensitive site design and siting of facilities and construction staging areas away from critical areas and their buffers;
 - 6. Involving resource agencies early in site planning; and
 - 7. Providing protective measures such as siltation curtains, hay bales and other siltation prevention measures, scheduling the regulated activity to avoid interference with wildlife and fisheries rearing, resting, nesting or spawning activities.

16.20.110 Mitigation plan requirements.

- A. All critical area restoration, creation and/or enhancement projects required pursuant to this chapter either as a permit condition or as a result of an enforcement action shall follow a mitigation plan prepared by an expert approved by the Director. The applicant or violator shall receive written approval of the mitigation plan by the Director prior to commencement. Compensatory mitigation is not required for allowed activities

which utilize best management practices to protect the functions and values of regulated critical areas.

B. Purpose of Mitigation Plan. The mitigation plan shall provide information on land acquisition, construction, maintenance and monitoring of the replaced critical area. The mitigation plan shall recreate as nearly as possible the original critical area in terms of its acreage, function, geographic location and setting.

C. Mitigation Plan Submittal Requirements. A complete mitigation plan shall consist of plot plans, a written report, and performance bonds, as required below. The plot plans and written report shall be prepared by qualified professionals approved by the Director.

1. Plot Plan Requirements. The following information shall be submitted on one or more plot plans (as determined by the Director):

a. A legal description and a survey (boundary and topography) prepared by a licensed surveyor of the proposed development site, compensation site, and location of existing critical area(s) on each. This shall include wetland delineation and existing wetland acreage.

b. Scaled plot plan(s) indicating:

i. Proposed construction;

ii. Zoning setback and critical area buffer requirements;

iii. Construction phasing and sequence of construction;

iv. Site cross-sections, percent slope, existing and finished grade elevations;

v. Soil and substrate conditions;

vi. Grading and excavation plan, including erosion and sediment control plans needed for construction and long-term survival; substrate stockpiling locations and techniques, and source controls needed for critical area construction and maintenance;

vii. Landscape plans indicating species, types, quantities, locations, size, spacing or density of planting; planting season or timing; planting instructions, watering schedule and nutrient requirements; source of plant materials or seeds; and, where appropriate, measures to protect plants from destruction or predation; and

viii. Water control structures and water-level maintenance practices needed to achieve the necessary hydrocycle/hydroperiod characteristics, etc.

2. Written Report Requirements. A written report shall accompany the plot plan(s) and shall provide the additional information required below. In addition, the report should be used as needed to clarify or explain elements of the plot plan(s).

a. Baseline Information.

i. Wetland delineation and existing wetland acreage;

ii. Vegetative, faunal and hydrologic characteristics;

iii. Soil and substrate conditions;

iv. Relationship within watershed and to existing streams, wetlands, ponds, or saltwater;

v. Existing and proposed adjacent site conditions; and

vi. Existing and proposed ownership.

b. Environmental Goals and Objectives. The report shall contain a description of the environmental goals and objectives to be met by the compensation

plan. The goals and objectives shall be related to the functions and values of the original critical area or, if out-of-kind wetland mitigation, the type of wetland to be emulated.

This analysis shall include, but is not limited to the following:

- i. Site selection criteria;
- ii. Identification of compensation goals;
- iii. Identification of functions and values;
- iv. Dates for beginning and completion of the project and compensation plan;
- v. A complete description of the relationship between and among structures and functions sought;
- vi. Review of available literature and/or known like-projects to date in restoring or creating the type of critical area proposed;
- vii. Likelihood of success of the proposed compensation project at duplicating the original critical area. This shall be based on experiences of comparable projects identified in the literature review or existing projects, if any; and
- viii. Likelihood of the ability of the created or restored critical area to provide the functions and values of the original critical area. This shall be based on such factors as surface water and groundwater supply and flow patterns; dynamics of the ecosystem; sediment or pollutant influx and/or erosion, periodic flooding and drought, etc.; presence of invasive flora or fauna; potential human or animal disturbance; and previous comparable projects, if any.

c. Performance Standards. Specific criteria shall be provided for evaluating whether or not the goals and objectives of the project are met and for beginning remedial action or contingency measures. Such criteria may include water quality standards, survival rates of planted vegetation, species abundance, and diversity targets, habitat diversity indices, or other ecological, geological or hydrological criteria.

d. Detailed Specifications. Written specifications and descriptions of compensation techniques shall be provided. These shall include, but not be limited to, items in Subsection C.2 of this Section.

e. Monitoring Program. A program outlining the approach for monitoring construction of the compensation project and for assessing a completed project shall be provided. Monitoring may include, but is not limited to:

- i. Establishing vegetation plots to track changes in plant species composition and density over time;
- ii. Using photo stations to evaluate vegetation community response;
- iii. Sampling surface and subsurface waters to determine pollutant loading, and changes from the natural variability of background conditions (pH, nutrients, heavy metals);
- iv. Measuring base flow rates and storm water runoff to model and evaluate water quality predictions, if appropriate;
- v. Measuring sedimentation rates, if applicable; and
- vi. Sampling fish and wildlife populations to determine habitat utilization, species abundance and diversity.

f. A protocol shall be included outlining how the monitoring data will be evaluated by agencies that are tracking the progress of the compensation project. A monitoring report shall be submitted annually, at a minimum, documenting milestones, successes, problems, and contingency actions of the compensation project. The compensation project shall be monitored for a period necessary to establish that performance standards have been met, but not for a period less than seven years.

g. Contingency Plan. Identification of potential courses of action, and any corrective measures to be taken when monitoring or evaluation indicates project performance standards are not being met.

D. Performance and Maintenance Surety and Demonstration of Competence. A demonstration of financial resources, administrative, supervisory, and technical competence and scientific expertise to successfully execute the compensation project shall be provided. A compensation project manager shall be named and the qualifications of each team member involved in preparing the mitigation plan and implementing and supervising the project shall be provided, including educational background and areas of expertise, training and experience with comparable projects. In addition, a surety ensuring fulfillment of the compensation project, monitoring program, and any contingency measure shall be posted pursuant to BIMC 16.20.180.

E. City Consultation. The City may consult with and solicit comments from any federal, state, regional, or local agency, including tribes, having any special expertise with respect to any environmental impact prior to approving a mitigation proposal which includes critical areas compensation. The compensation project proponents should provide sufficient information on plan design and implementation in order for such agencies to comment on the overall adequacy of the mitigation proposal.

F. Permit Conditions. Any compensation project prepared pursuant to this section and approved by the Director shall become part of the application for the permit.

16.20.120 Aquifer recharge areas.

A. Classification. The entirety of Bainbridge Island is the recharge area for the island aquifers. Certain uses must be carefully evaluated before being approved, and others must be prohibited, in order to protect the city's aquifers, due to the following:

1. Bainbridge Island is dependent upon its aquifers as the sole and essential source for drinking water. Critical recharge areas have the potential to affect potable water where an essential source of drinking water is vulnerable to contamination.

2. The island aquifers are vulnerable to pollution that has the potential to create a significant public health hazard. High vulnerability is indicative of land uses which produce contaminants that may degrade groundwater and low vulnerability is indicative of land uses which will not.

3. Susceptibility to pollution is a function of depth of groundwater, permeability of soils, soil types, presence of potential sources of contamination and any other relevant factors.

4. Soil types that transfer water to the aquifer are rated in terms of infiltration rate. Soil types with the high infiltration rates are associated with areas of high aquifer

recharge. The rates and soil types are defined by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, in the Soil Survey of Kitsap County.

5. The island aquifers are vulnerable to a reduction in recharge from activities that reduce the infiltration rate on a site.

B. Hydrogeologic Assessment. The following proposed activities will require the preparation of a hydrogeologic assessment:

1. The use of hazardous substances, other than household chemicals used according to the directions specified on the packaging for domestic applications;
2. The use of injection wells, including on-site septic systems, except those domestic septic systems releasing less than 14,500 gallons of effluent per day; or
3. Any other activity determined by the Director likely to have an adverse impact on ground water quality or quantity or on the recharge of the aquifer.

C. Hydrogeologic Assessment Requirements. A hydrogeologic assessment shall include, at a minimum, the following site and proposal-related information:

1. Available information regarding geologic and hydrogeologic characteristics of the site including the surface location of all critical aquifer recharge areas located on site or immediately adjacent to the site, and permeability of the unsaturated zone;
2. Ground water depth, flow direction, and gradient based on available information;
3. Currently available data on wells and springs within 1,300 feet of the project area;
4. Location of other critical areas, including surface waters, within 1,300 feet of the project area;
5. Available historic water quality data for the area to be affected by the proposed activity;
6. Best management practices proposed to be utilized to protect groundwater quality; and
7. Low impact development practices designed to maintain infiltration rates to the underlying aquifers.

D. Performance Standards – Specific Uses.

1. Storage Tanks. All storage tanks proposed in a critical aquifer recharge area must comply with local building code requirements and must conform to the following requirements:

a. Underground Tanks. All new underground storage facilities proposed for the storage of hazardous substances or hazardous wastes shall be designed and constructed so as to:

- i. Prevent releases due to corrosion or structural failure for the operational life of the tank;
- ii. Be protected against corrosion, constructed of noncorrosive material, steel clad with a noncorrosive material, or designed to include a secondary containment system to prevent the release or threatened release of any stored substances; and
- iii. Use material in the construction or lining of the tank that is compatible with the substance to be stored.

b. **Aboveground Tanks.** All new aboveground storage facilities proposed for the storage of hazardous substances or hazardous wastes shall be designed and constructed so as to:

- i. Not allow the release of a hazardous substance to the ground, ground waters, or surface waters;
- ii. Have a primary containment area enclosing or underlying the tank or part thereof; and
- iii. A secondary containment system either built into the tank structure or a dike system built outside the tank for all tanks.

2. Vehicle Repair and Servicing

a. Vehicle repair and servicing must be conducted over impermeable pads and within a covered structure capable of withstanding normally expected weather conditions. Chemicals used in the process of vehicle repair and servicing must be stored in a manner that protects them from weather and provides containment should leaks occur.

b. No dry wells shall be allowed on sites used for vehicle repair and servicing. Dry wells existing on the site prior to facility establishment must be abandoned using techniques approved by the state Department of Ecology prior to commencement of the proposed activity.

3. Residential Use of Pesticides and Nutrients. Application of household pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers shall not exceed times and rates specified on the packaging.

4. Use of Reclaimed Water for Surface Percolation or Direct Recharge. Water reuse projects for reclaimed water must be in accordance with the adopted water or sewer comprehensive plans that have been approved by the state departments of Ecology and Health.

a. Use of reclaimed water for surface percolation must meet the ground water recharge criteria given in Chapter 90.46.080(1) and Chapter 90.46.010(10) RCW. The state Department of Ecology may establish additional discharge limits in accordance with Chapter 90.46.080(2) RCW.

b. Direct injection must be in accordance with the standards developed by authority of Chapter 90.46.042 RCW.

5. State and Federal Regulations. The uses listed below shall be conditioned as necessary to protect critical aquifer recharge areas in accordance with the applicable state and federal regulations.

Table 1: Statutes, Regulations, and Guidance Pertaining to Ground Water Impacting Activities

Above Ground Storage Tanks	Chapter 173-303-640 WAC
Animal Feedlots	Chapter 173-216 WAC, Chapter 173-220 WAC

Automobile Washers	Chapter 173-216 WAC, Best Management Practices for Vehicle and Equipment Discharges (Washington Department of Ecology WQ-R-95-56)
Below Ground Storage Tanks	Chapter 173-360 WAC
Chemical Treatment Storage and Disposal Facilities	Chapter 173-303-182 WAC
Hazardous Waste Generator (<i>Boat Repair Shops, Biological Research Facility, Dry Cleaners, Furniture Stripping, Motor Vehicle Service Garages, Photographic Processing, Printing and Publishing Shops, etc.</i>)	Chapter 173-303 WAC
Injection Wells	Federal 40 CFR Parts 144 and 146, Chapter 173-218 WAC
Junk Yards and Salvage Yards	Chapter 173-304 WAC, Best Management Practices to Prevent Stormwater Pollution at Vehicles Recycler Facilities (Washington State Department of Ecology 94-146)
Oil and Gas Drilling	Chapter 332-12-450 WAC, Chapter 173-218 WAC
On-Site Sewage Systems (Large Scale)	Chapter 173-240 WAC
On-Site Sewage Systems (< 14,500 gal/day)	Chapter 246-272 WAC, Local Health Ordinances
Pesticide Storage and Use	Chapter 15.54 RCW, Chapter 17.21 RCW
Sawmills	Chapter 173-303 WAC, Chapter 173-304 WAC, Best Management Practices to Prevent Stormwater Pollution at Log Yards (Washington State Department of Ecology, 95-53)
Solid Waste Handling and Recycling Facilities	Chapter 173-304 WAC
Surface Mining	Chapter 332-18-015 WAC

Wastewater Application to Land Surface	Chapter 173-216 WAC, Chapter 173-200 WAC, Washington State Department of Ecology Land Application Guidelines, Best Management Practices for Irrigated Agriculture
--	---

E. Prohibited Uses. Uses Prohibited In Aquifer Recharge Areas. The following activities and uses are prohibited in Aquifer Recharge Areas:

1. Landfills. Landfills, including hazardous or dangerous waste, municipal solid waste, special waste, wood waste, and inert and demolition waste landfills;
2. Underground Injection Wells. Class I, III, and IV wells and subclasses 5F01, 5D03, 5F04, 5W09, 5W10, 5W11, 5W31, 5X13, 5X14, 5X15, 5W20, 5X28, and 5N24 of Class V wells;
3. Wood Treatment Facilities. Wood treatment facilities that allow any portion of the treatment process to occur over permeable surfaces (both natural and manmade);
4. Storage, Processing, or Disposal of Radioactive Substances. Facilities that store (other than minor sources such as medicinal uses or industrial testing devices) process, or dispose of radioactive substances; and
5. Other Prohibited Uses or Activities:
 - a. Activities that would significantly reduce the recharge to aquifers currently or potentially used as a potable water source; and
 - b. Activities that would significantly reduce the recharge to aquifers that are a source of significant baseflow to a regulated stream.

16.20.130 Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.

A. Purpose. This section applies to all Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas, as categorized in Section 16.20.130(B) below. The intent of this Section is to:

1. Preserve natural flood control, stormwater storage, and drainage or stream flow patterns;
2. Control siltation, protect nutrient reserves, and maintain stream flows and stream quality for fish and marine shellfish;
3. Prevent turbidity and pollution of streams and fish or shellfish bearing waters;
4. Preserve and protect habitat adequate to support viable populations of native wildlife and fish on Bainbridge Island; and,
5. Encourage non-regulatory methods of habitat retention whenever practical, through education and the Open Space Tax Program.

B. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas Categories.

1. Classification. The following categories shall be used in classifying Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas:
 - a. Marine Critical Areas. Commercial and recreational shellfish areas; kelp and eelgrass beds; marine and estuarine waters of the state and herring, sand lance and smelt spawning areas.

b. Streams: All streams which meet the criteria for Type F, Np and Ns waters as set forth in WAC 222-16-030 of the Department of Natural Resources Water Typing System and as further modified by the definitions in this chapter. Once a stream has been classified, the City must document the reasons for changes in the classification.

c. Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas:

i. Class I Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas: Habitats recognized by federal or state agencies for federal and/or state listed endangered, threatened, and sensitive species documented in maps or data bases available to the City of Bainbridge Island and which, if altered, may reduce the likelihood that the species will maintain and reproduce over the long term.

ii. Class II Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas. Habitats for State listed candidate, monitor, or priority species documented in maps or data bases available to City of Bainbridge Island and its citizens, and which, if altered, may reduce the likelihood that the species will maintain and reproduce over the long term.

d. Habitats and Species of Local Importance. This section provides for the designation and protection of habitats and species of local importance.

i. Designation of species of habitat of local importance can be based on any of the following circumstances:

(a) Local populations of native species are in danger of extirpation based on existing trends.

(b) Local populations of native species are likely to become threatened or endangered under state or federal law.

(c) Local populations of native species are vulnerable or declining.

(d) The species or habitat has recreation, commercial, game, tribal, or other special value.

(e) Long-term persistence of a species is dependent on the protection, maintenance, and/or restoration of the nominated habitat.

(f) Protection by other county, state, or federal policies, laws, regulations, or non-regulatory tools is not adequate to prevent degradation of the species or habitat in the city.

(g) Without protection, there is likelihood that the species or habitat will be diminished over the long term.

ii. Nomination.

(a) Any person may nominate habitats and species for designation.

(b) The nomination should indicate whether specific habitat features are to be protected (for example, nest sites, breeding areas, and nurseries), or whether the habitat or ecosystem is being nominated in its entirety.

(c) Where the nomination is a specific habitat site, the nomination shall include the name and address of all property owners of record of all assessor parcels within the area potentially affected by the management recommendations. The list shall at a minimum include all properties within 300 feet from the edge of all property identified for special designation.

(d) The nomination shall include recommended management strategies for the species or habitats. Management strategies must be supported by the

best available science, and where restoration of habitat is proposed, a specific plan for restoration must be provided prior to nomination.

iii. Nomination processing and approval. The decision whether to designate a nominated species or habitat as one of local importance shall be made by the City Council. If approved, the City Council shall pass an ordinance establishing the designation.

iv. Establishment of specific rules for protection. Within 120 days of the effective date of an ordinance designating a species or habitat of local importance, the Director shall develop an administrative rule addressing protection in compliance with this section.

C. Development Standards. Regulated uses in designated Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas and/or buffers shall comply with the performance standards outlined in this Section.

1. Development standards for Marine Critical Areas are defined in the City's Shoreline Master Program, Chapter 16.12 BIMC. The shoreline studies that are currently required from all applicants under the Shoreline Master Program, Chapter 16.12 BIMC, are summarized in Exhibit A. Marine Critical Areas are not subject to Development Standards 2-16 of this Subsection C.

2. Development standards – streams:

a. Water quality buffers – An applicant shall provide the prescribed water quality buffers in Table 2 unless a Reasonable Use Exception is granted pursuant to BIMC 16.20.080.

b. Habitat buffers – An applicant shall provide either:

i. The prescribed habitat buffers in Table 2; or

ii. An approved Habitat Management Plan, pursuant to BIMC 16.20.060, that clearly provides greater habitat functions and values in perpetuity than the prescribed habitat buffers in Table 2.

c. Buffer distances shall be measured from the ordinary high water mark (OHM) or from the top of the bank where the OHM cannot be identified. Buffers shall be retained in their natural condition. It is acceptable, however, to enhance the buffer by planting native or equivalent vegetation as approved by the Director.

d. Alteration of habitat buffer areas may be allowed for water dependent and water related activities and for development authorized by BIMC 16.20.040.C (Exemptions), or BIMC 16.20.040.D (Standards for Existing Development), or BIMC 16.20.050.B (Buffer Averaging), or BIMC 16.20.070 (Variances), or BIMC 16.20.080 (Reasonable Use Exception).

e. The buffer width shall be increased to include streamside wetlands which provide overflow storage for stormwater, feed water back to the stream during low flow, or provide shelter and food for fish. In braided channels, the ordinary high water mark or top of bank shall be defined so as to include the entire stream feature.

f. Refuse and landscaping debris shall not be placed in buffers.

g. Streams in Ravines - Buffers. For streams in ravines outside the Mixed Use Town Center with ravine sides 10 feet or greater in height, the buffer width shall be the greater of:

- i. The buffer width required for the stream type; or
 - ii. A buffer width which extends 25 feet beyond the top of the ravine.
- h. **Building Setback Line.** A building surface setback line of 15 feet is required from the edge of any fish and wildlife habitat conservation area buffer except as provided for in BIMC 16.20.170. Minor structures such as decks or impervious surfaces such as driveways may be permitted if the Director determines that such intrusions will not adversely impact the fish and wildlife habitat conservation area. The setback shall be identified on the site plan and filed as an attachment to the notice on title as required by BIMC 16.20.190.

Table 2: Stream Buffers

Stream Category	Water Quality Buffer	Habitat Buffer	Total Buffer
Fish Bearing (F)	100 ft	50 ft	150 ft
Non-Fish Perennial (Np)	40 ft	10 ft	50 ft
Non-Fish Seasonal (Ns)	40 ft	10 ft	50 ft

3. **Class I Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas Development Standards.** All development as described within this chapter or within 200 feet of designated Class I Wildlife Conservation Areas, except Marine Critical Areas, shall adhere to the following standards:

a. The applicant shall submit a Habitat Management Plan as specified in BIMC 16.20.060 for approval by the Director. If a wildlife conservation area designation is based on the presence of bald eagles, a Bald Eagle Management Plan, approved by the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife and meeting the requirements and guidelines of the bald eagle protection rules, WAC 232-12-292, as now or hereafter amended, shall satisfy the requirements for a Habitat Management Plan (HMP).

b. All new development within ranges and habitat elements with which Class I Fish and Wildlife have a significant relationship may require the submittal of a Habitat Management Plan (HMP) as specified in BIMC 16.20.060. The requirement for an HMP shall be determined during the SEPA/Critical Areas review on the project.

c. An HMP required pursuant to this section shall consider measures to retain and protect the wildlife habitat and shall consider effects of land use intensity, buffers, setbacks, impervious surfaces, erosion control and retention of native or equivalent vegetation.

d. **Increased Buffer Provisions.** The Director may increase buffer widths, up to 50% greater than the applicable buffer set in this chapter for critical areas with known locations of endangered, threatened, or state monitor or priority species for which a habitat management plan indicates a larger buffer is necessary to protect habitat values for such species. Such determination shall be based on site-specific and project-related conditions.

4. **Class II Fish and Wildlife Conservation Area Development Standards.** All development within designated Class II Wildlife Conservation Areas shall adhere to the following standards:

a. An HMP may be required for any proposed development within designated Class II Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas. The HMP shall consider measures to retain and protect the wildlife habitat and shall consider effects of land use intensity, buffers, setbacks, impervious surfaces, erosion control and retention of native or equivalent vegetation. The requirement for an HMP shall be determined during the SEPA/Critical Areas review on the project.

b. **Increased Buffer Provisions.** The Director may increase buffer widths, up to 50% greater than the applicable buffer set in this chapter for critical areas with known locations of endangered, threatened, or state monitor or priority species for which a habitat management plan indicates a larger buffer is necessary to protect habitat values for such species. Such determination shall be based on site-specific and project-related conditions.

5. **Stream Crossings.** Any private or public road expansion or construction which is allowed and must cross streams classified within this chapter, shall comply with the following minimum development standards:

a. Bridges or bottomless culverts shall be required for all streams which have Salmonid breeding habitat. Other alternatives may be allowed upon submittal of a Habitat Management Plan which demonstrates that other alternatives would not result in significant impacts to the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Area, as determined appropriate through the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife, Hydraulics Project Approval process. The plan must demonstrate that salmon habitat will be replaced on a 1:1 ratio.

b. Crossings shall not occur in Salmonid spawning areas unless no other feasible crossing site exists. For new development proposals, if existing crossings are determined to adversely impact salmon spawning or passage areas, new or upgraded crossings shall be located as determined necessary through coordination with the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife;

c. Bridge piers or abutments shall not be placed in either the floodway or between the ordinary, high water marks unless no other feasible alternative placement exists;

d. Crossings shall not diminish flood carrying capacity;

e. Crossings shall serve multiple properties whenever possible;

f. Where there is no reasonable alternative to providing a conventional culvert, the culvert shall be the minimum length necessary to accommodate the permitted activity.

6. **Stream Relocations.** Stream relocations for the purpose of flood protection and/or fisheries restoration shall only be permitted when adhering to the following minimum performance standards and when consistent with Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife Hydraulic Project Approval:

a. The channel, bank, and buffer areas should be replanted with native or equivalent vegetation that replicates a natural, undisturbed riparian condition;

b. For those shorelands and waters designated as Frequently Flooded Areas pursuant to BIMC 16.20.140, a professional engineer licensed in the State of Washington shall provide information demonstrating that the equivalent base flood storage volume and function will be maintained; and

c. Relocated stream channels shall be designed to meet or exceed the functions and values of the stream to be relocated.

7. Pesticides, Fertilizers and Herbicides. No pesticides, herbicides or fertilizers may be used in Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas or their buffers, except those approved by the EPA and approved under a DOE Water Quality Modification Permit for use in Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Area environments. Where approved, herbicides must be applied by a licensed applicator in accordance with the safe application practices on the label.

8. Land Divisions and Land Use Permits. All land divisions and land uses proposed on a site that includes Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas shall comply with the following procedures and development standards:

a. The open water area of lakes, streams, and tidal lands shall not be permitted for use in calculating minimum lot area.

b. Land division approvals shall be conditioned so that all required buffers are designated as an easement or covenant encumbering the buffer. Such easement or covenant shall be recorded together with the land division and represented on the final plat, short plat or binding site plan.

c. In order to avoid the creation of non-conforming lots, each new lot shall contain at least one building site that meets the requirements of this chapter, including buffer requirements for habitat conservation areas. Each lot must also have access and a sewage disposal system location that are suitable for development which do not adversely impact the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Area.

d. After preliminary approval and prior to final land division approval, the Director may require that the common boundary between a required buffer and the adjacent lands be identified using permanent signs. In lieu of signs, alternative methods of buffer identification may be approved when such methods are determined by the Director to provide adequate protection to the aquatic buffer.

9. Agricultural Restrictions. In all development proposals which would permit introduction of agriculture to Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas, damage to the area shall be avoided by one of the following methods:

a. Implementation of the farm conservation plan agreed upon by the Kitsap Conservation District and the applicant, to protect and enhance the water quality of the aquatic area; and/or,

b. Fencing located not closer than the outer buffer edge.

10. Trails and Trail-Related Facilities. Construction of public and private trails and trail-related facilities, such as benches, interpretive centers, and viewing platforms, may be allowed in Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas or their buffers pursuant to the following standards:

a. Trails and related facilities shall, to the extent feasible, be placed on existing road grades, utility corridors, or other such previously disturbed areas;

b. Trails and related facilities shall be planned to minimize removal of trees, shrubs, snags and important wildlife habitat;

c. Viewing platforms, interpretive centers, benches and access to them, shall be designed and located to minimize disturbance of wildlife habitat and/or critical characteristics of the affected conservation area;

d. Trails, in general, shall be set back from streams so that there will be no or minimal impact to the stream from trail use or maintenance. Trails shall be constructed with pervious surfaces when feasible.

11. Utilities. Placement of utilities within designated Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas may be allowed pursuant to the following standards:

a. The minor utility maintenance authorized in BIMC 16.20.040(C) shall be allowed within designated Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation areas, subject to best management practices.

b. Construction of utilities may be permitted in Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas or their buffers, only when no practicable or reasonable alternative location is available and the utility corridor meets the requirements for installation, replacement of vegetation and maintenance outlined below, and as required pursuant to BIMC 16.20.160(G) (Special Use Review), which may be required by this Chapter.

c. Sewer or on-site Sewage Utility. Construction of sewer lines or on-site sewage systems may be permitted in Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas or their buffers when the applicant demonstrates it is necessary to meet State and/or local health code requirements; there are no other practicable alternatives available; and construction meets the requirement of this section. Joint use of the sewer utility corridor by other utilities may be allowed.

d. New utility corridors shall not be allowed in Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas with known locations of federal or state listed endangered, threatened or sensitive species, heron rookeries or nesting sites of raptors which are listed as state candidates except in those circumstances where an approved Habitat Management Plan indicates that the utility corridor will not significantly impact the conservation area;

e. New Utility Corridor Construction. Utility corridor construction and maintenance shall protect the environment of Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas and their buffers.

i. New utility corridors shall be aligned whenever possible to avoid cutting or root damage to trees greater than 12 inches in diameter at breast height (four and one-half feet) measured on the uphill side.

ii. New utility corridors shall be revegetated with appropriate native or equivalent vegetation at not less than pre-construction vegetation densities or greater, immediately upon completion of construction or as soon thereafter as possible due to seasonal growing constraints. The utility shall ensure that such vegetation survives.

iii. Any additional corridor access for maintenance shall be provided wherever possible at specific points rather than by parallel roads. If parallel roads are necessary, they shall be of a minimum width but no greater than 15 feet; and shall be contiguous to the location of the utility corridor on the side away from the conservation area.

f. Utility corridor maintenance shall include the following measures to protect the environment of regulated Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas.

i. Utility towers should be painted with brush, pad or roller and should not be sandblasted or spray-painted, nor should lead base paints be used.

ii. Pesticides, fertilizers and herbicides: No pesticides or fertilizers may be used in Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas or their buffers, except those herbicides applied by a licensed applicator in accordance with the safe application practices on the label.

12. Bank Stabilization.

a. A stream channel and bank may be stabilized when naturally occurring earth movement threatens existing structures (defined as requiring a Building Permit pursuant to the applicable building code), public improvements, unique natural resources, public health, safety or welfare, or the only feasible access to property, and, in the case of streams, when such stabilization results in maintenance of Fish and Wildlife Habitat, flood control, and improved water quality.

b. Where bank stabilization is determined to be necessary, bioengineering or other non-structural methods should be the first option for protection. Bulkheads and retaining walls may only be utilized as an engineering solution where it can be demonstrated that an existing residential structure cannot be safely maintained without such measures, and that the resulting retaining wall is the minimum length necessary to provide a stable building area for the structure. The Director may require that bank stabilization be designed by a professional engineer licensed in the State of Washington with demonstrated expertise in hydraulic actions of shorelines. Bank stabilization projects may also require a City of Bainbridge Island clearing or grading permit and Hydraulic Project Approval from the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

c. Nonstructural streambank protective techniques are preferred to bulkheads or other types of streambank armoring. Nonstructural techniques include but are not limited to vegetation plantings and bioengineering.

13. Fencing and Signs. Prior to approval or issuance of permits for land divisions or other new development, the Director may require that the common boundary between a required buffer and the adjacent lands be identified using fencing or permanent signs. In lieu of fencing or signs, alternative methods of buffer identification may be approved when such methods are determined by the Director to provide adequate protection to the buffer.

14. Forest Practice, Class IV General and Conversion Option Harvest Plans (COHPs). All timber harvesting and associated development activity, such as construction of roads, shall comply with the provisions of this chapter, and the Stormwater Management standards in Chapters 15.20 and 15.21 BIMC, including the maintenance of buffers, where required.

15. Road/Street Repair & Construction. Any private or public road or street expansion or construction which may be allowed in a Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Area or its buffer shall comply with the following minimum development standards:

- a. No other reasonable or practicable alternative exists and the road or street crossing serves multiple properties whenever possible;
- b. Expansion or construction of any private or public road shall only be allowed when adverse impacts cannot be avoided;
- c. Public and private roads should provide for other purposes, such as utility crossings, pedestrian or bicycle easements, viewing points, etc.; and
- d. The road or street construction is the minimum necessary, as required by the Department of Public Works, and shall comply with the Department of Public Works' guidelines to provide public safety and mitigated stormwater impacts.
- e. Construction time limits shall be determined in consultation with the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife in order to ensure habitat protection.

16. Other allowed Uses in Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas. Other activities may be allowed using the standard for a category II wetland buffer in BIMC 16.20.160(E), Table 4.

16.20.140 Frequently flooded areas.

A. Classification. Classification for frequently flooded areas shall be consistent with the 100-year floodplain designation of the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the National Flood Insurance Program. In addition the following criteria shall be considered when designating and classifying these areas:

- 1. Flooding impact to human health, safety, and welfare and to public facilities and services;
- 2. Available documentation including federal, state, and local laws, regulations and programs, local maps, and federally subsidized flood insurance programs;
- 3. The future floodplain defined as a channel of the stream and that portion of the adjoining floodplain which is necessary to contain and discharge the base flood flow at build-out without any measurable increase in flood heights; and
- 4. The effect of high tides with strong winds, and greater surface runoff caused by increasing impervious surfaces.

B. Standards.

- 1. Development shall not reduce the effective base flood storage volume. Effective storage capacity shall be maintained.
- 2. Grading or other regulated activities which would reduce the flood water storage volume shall be mitigated by creating compensatory storage on- or off-site. Applicants must provide mitigation plans pursuant to this chapter.
- 3. Base flood data and flood hazard notes shall be on the face of any recorded plat or site plan including, but not limited to, base flood elevations, flood protection elevation, boundary of floodplain, and zero rise floodway.
- 4. Applicants for development in low lying shoreline areas and other areas where flood elevation is controlled by tide level shall be provided with information on sea level rise.

16.20.150 Geologically hazardous areas.

A. General. Geologically hazardous areas include erosion hazard areas, landslide hazard areas, and seismic hazard areas. Zone of influence areas are not considered geologically hazardous areas.

B. Purpose. The intent of this section is to prevent the potential for personal injury or loss of life or property due to flooding, erosion, landslides, seismic events, or soil subsidence. Development must not increase slope instability, and must avoid on-site and off-site impacts, as well as potential risk to structures. Preserving the existing vegetation may be an important part of minimizing those risks.

C. Classification. Geologically hazardous areas shall be classified based upon landslide history and the presence of unstable soils, steepness of slopes, erosion potential, and seismic hazards. Areas in this category are a potential threat to public health, safety, and welfare when construction is allowed. While some potential risk due to construction can be reduced through structural engineering design, construction in these areas should be avoided when the potential risk cannot be reduced to a level comparable to the risk if the site were initially stable prior to construction. Classification and rating shall be based upon the risk to the environment and to development in geologically hazardous areas.

D. Minimum Submittal Requirements.

1. All geologically hazardous areas and buffers.

a. Indemnification. An indemnification or hold harmless agreement shall be required for all projects in geologically hazardous areas and buffers except erosion hazard areas and tsunami hazard areas. The form of the agreement shall be approved by the City and executed prior to the commencement of construction or site alteration.

b. Notice. ~~If no other public notice is required for a proposed development located in a landslide hazard areas, a~~ A notice of intent to construct on a landslide hazard area or reduce the minimum buffer in a landslide hazard area shall be given pursuant to BIMC 2.16.085.C.2. The notice of intent shall be issued within 14 days of a completed application pursuant to BIMC 2.16.055. The notice shall include a 21-day comment period and no permits or approval of reduced buffers shall be issued before the end of the comment period.

c. All reports or analyses required or prepared pursuant to this Section shall be prepared pursuant to BIMC 16.20.090, 16.20.150, and/or any other applicable provisions of this chapter, and shall meet the satisfaction of, and be approved by, the City Engineer prior to the commencement of any development activity.

d. To protect public health, safety and welfare, the City Engineer may call for a third party review of any geotechnical report in cases where there may be potential for substantial damage to life, property or the environment should the proposed engineering solution fail. When a third party review is required, costs incurred for a qualified third party geotechnical engineer to perform the review shall be borne by the applicant.

~~d. Mitigation plans. The City Engineer may determine that a mitigation plan is necessary. The mitigation plan shall propose, and the City Engineer may approve, appropriate mitigation measures, which may include, among others, removal of groundwater, vegetation management, and/or construction of bulkheads or retaining walls. No mitigation plan shall be approved that increases the risk of landslide or erosion on-site or off-site. Bulkheads and retaining walls may only be utilized as engineering solutions where it can be demonstrated that a structure will be more safely protected than without the use of such measures, and that the resulting retaining wall is the minimum size necessary to protect the structure, and that no other reasonable project alternative exists. The mitigation plan shall be prepared by qualified professionals, which may include geotechnical engineer, hydrogeologist, arborist, and/or fisheries biologist, depending on specific circumstances and as deemed appropriate by the City Engineer.~~

e. Geological Hazards Assessment. A Critical Area report is required for all projects in geologically hazardous areas and buffers and shall contain an assessment of geological hazards including the following site- and proposal-related information at a minimum:

i. Site and Construction Plans. The report shall include a copy of the site plans for the proposal showing:

A. The type and extent of geologic hazard areas, any other Critical Areas, and buffers on, adjacent to, or within a zone or distance of potential significant influence as determined by a professional engineer/ geologist;

B. Proposed development, including the location of existing and proposed structures, fill, storage of materials, and drainage facilities, with dimensions indicating distances to the floodplain, if available;

C. The topography, as determined by a professional engineer or geologist, of the project area and all hazard areas addressed in the report; and

D. Clearing limits.

ii. Assessment of Geological Characteristics. The report shall include an assessment of the geologic characteristics of the soils, sediments, and/or rock of the project area and potentially affected adjacent properties, and a review of the site history regarding landslides, erosion, and prior grading. Soils analysis shall be accomplished in accordance with accepted classification systems in use in the region. The assessment shall include, but not be limited to:

A. A description of the surface and subsurface geology, hydrology, soils, and vegetation found in the project area and in all hazard areas addressed in the report;

B. A detailed overview of the field investigations, published data, and references; data and conclusions from past assessments of the site; and site specific measurements, test, investigations, or studies that support the identification of geologically hazardous areas; and

C. A description of the vulnerability of the site to seismic and other geologic events.

iii. Analysis of Proposal. The report shall contain a hazards analysis including a detailed description of the project, its relationship to the geologic hazard(s), and its potential impact upon the hazard area, the subject property, and affected adjacent properties.

iv. Minimum Buffer and Building Setback. The report shall make a recommendation for the minimum no-disturbance buffer and minimum building setback from any geologic hazard based upon the geotechnical analysis. Where the recommended buffers are less than the standard buffers set forth in section 16.20.150(E) of BIMC, the rationale and basis for the reduced buffer shall be clearly articulated and demonstrate that the protection standard set forth in that section has been met.

f. Incorporation of Previous Study. Where a valid Critical Areas report has been prepared for a specific site, and where the proposed land use activity and surrounding site conditions are unchanged, said report may be incorporated into the required Critical Area report, if deemed still valid and appropriate by a professional engineer or geologist. The applicant shall submit a hazards assessment detailing any changed environmental conditions associated with the site based on best professional judgment of the engineer/ geologist.

g. Mitigation of Long-Term Impacts. When hazard mitigation is required, the mitigation plan shall specifically address how the activity maintains or reduces the pre-existing level of risk to the site and adjacent properties on a long-term basis (equal to or exceeding the projected life span of the activity or occupation). Proposed mitigation techniques shall be considered to provide long-term hazard reduction only if they do not require regular maintenance or

other actions to maintain their function. Mitigation may also be required to avoid any increase in risk above the pre-existing conditions following abandonment of the activity.

h. In addition to the general Critical Area report requirements of section 16.20.150(D)(1)(e), Critical Area reports for geologically hazardous areas must meet requirements of this section. Critical Area reports for two or more types of Critical Areas must meet the report requirements for each relevant type of Critical Area.

~~2. Erosion Hazard Areas. An erosion control plan prepared by a duly licensed civil engineer shall be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of a clearing or grading permit.~~

3-2 Landslide Hazard and Erosion Hazard Areas. In addition to the basic Critical Areas report, a Critical Area report for an erosion hazard or landslide hazard area shall include the following information at a minimum:

a. Erosion Control. An erosion control plan prepared by a civil engineer shall be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of a clearing or grading permit.

b. The applicant shall provide a geotechnical analysis containing the following information: specified by the City Engineer, which concludes that the development proposal meets the standards of this section.

i. Site Plan. The Critical Area report shall include a copy of the site plan for the proposal showing:

A. The height of slope, slope gradient, and cross-section of the project area;

B. The location of springs, seeps, or other surface expressions of ground water on or a zone or distance of potential significant influence as determined by a professional engineer/ geologist; and

C. The location and description of surface water run-off features.

ii. Hazards Analysis. The hazards analysis component of the Critical Areas report shall specifically include:

A. A description of the extent and type of vegetative cover;

B. A description of subsurface conditions based on data from site-specific explorations;

C. Descriptions of surface and ground water conditions, public and private sewage disposal systems, fills and excavations, and all structural improvements;

D. An estimate of slope stability and the effect construction and placement of structures will have on the slope over the estimated life of the structure;

E.. An estimate of the bluff retreat rate that recognizes and reflects potential catastrophic events such as seismic activity or a one hundred-year storm event;

F. Consideration of the run-out hazard of landslide debris and/or the impacts of landslide run-out on down slope properties;

G. A study of slope stability including an analysis of proposed cuts, fills, and other site grading;

H. Recommendations for building siting limitations; and

I. An analysis of proposed surface and subsurface drainage, and the vulnerability of the site to erosion.

iii. Geotechnical Engineering Report. The technical information for a project within a landslide hazard area shall include a geotechnical engineering report prepared by a licensed engineer that presents engineering recommendations for the following:

A. Parameters for design of site improvements including appropriate foundations and retaining structures. These should include allowable load and resistance capacities for bearing and lateral loads, installation considerations, and estimates of settlement performance;

B. Recommendations for drainage and subdrainage improvements;

C. Earthwork recommendations including clearing and site preparation criteria, fill placement and compaction criteria, temporary and permanent slope inclinations and protection, and temporary excavation support, if necessary; and

D. Mitigation of adverse site conditions including slope stabilization measures for seismically unstable soils, surface water management, location and methods of erosion control, a vegetation management and/or replanting plan, and/or other means for maintaining long-term soil stability if appropriate.

43. Seismic Hazards Areas. In addition to the basic report requirements, a Critical Area report for a seismic hazard area shall also meet the following requirements:

a. Fault Hazard. The applicant shall provide a geologic/geotechnical analysis containing information specified by the City Engineer that documents the presence or absence of any surface deformation on the site in areas mapped by the City. If deformation is located, the applicant shall provide a geotechnical analysis containing information specified by the City Engineer, which concludes that the development proposal as mitigated meets the standards of this section.

b. Liquefaction Hazard. The applicant shall provide a geotechnical analysis containing information specified by the City Engineer that meets the standards of this section (as mitigated).

c. Seismic Landslide Hazard. The applicant shall provide the same analysis and plan as required for landslide hazard areas, pursuant to BIMC 16.20.150(D)(1)(h)(1).

54. Tsunami Hazards. The City shall provide applicants for development in low lying shoreline areas and other areas where flood elevation is controlled by tide level with information on tsunami hazards.

65. Zone of Influence Areas beyond the established buffer. The applicant shall have the surface and storm water management plan for the project reviewed by a geotechnical engineer to determine if there is any potentially adverse impacts to the landslide hazardous area. If the geotechnical engineer or the City Engineer determines that there are potential adverse impacts, the applicant shall provide a geotechnical analysis containing information specified by the City Engineer which analyzes the potential impacts to the geological hazard from the proposed development in the zone of influence and meets the standards of this section. The report shall contain recommendations to avoid adverse impacts to the geologically hazardous area. Concentrated discharge of stormwater shall only be allowed where specially recommended in the report and authorized by the City Engineer.”

E. Development Standards.

1. General Requirements. The City Engineer shall establish administrative procedures to implement BIMC 16.20.150. These procedures shall include review by an administrative Technical Review Committee if the development is proposed in a landslide hazard area and/or its buffer. The Technical Review Committee shall include a civil engineer, a geologist or geotechnical engineer and hydrologist. Where landslide areas are associated with other critical areas in shall also include an experienced biologist, ecologist or wetland specialist. The City Engineer must document in the file those involved in the Technical Review Committee and their expertise. The City Engineer shall determine professionally acceptable levels of risk for all activities within geologically hazardous areas. The applicant shall meet the following standards for all activities permitted in geologically hazardous areas or associated buffers:

- a. The proposed activity shall not create a net increase in geological instability, either on- or off-site, which is defined as follows:
 - i. The subject parcel shall not be less stable after the planned development than before; and
 - ii. The adjacent parcels shall not have greater risk or be less stable after the planned development than before.
- b. The proposed activity shall not increase the risk of life safety due to geological hazards above professionally acceptable levels.
- c. The proposed activity shall not increase the risk due to geological hazards above professionally acceptable levels for:
 - i. Property loss of any habitable structures or their necessary supporting infrastructure on-site or;

- ii. Risk to any off-site structures or property of any kind; and
- d. Proposed buildings shall be constructed using appropriate engineering methods that respond to the geologic characteristics specific to the site in order to achieve the highest standard of safety feasible.
- e. The proposed development shall not decrease the factor of safety for landslide occurrences below the limits of 1.5 for static conditions and 1.2 for dynamic conditions. Analysis of dynamic conditions shall be based on the minimum horizontal acceleration as established by the current version of the International Building Code.
- f. The proposed activity shall not further degrade the values and functions of the associated critical areas.

2. Development Design and Location.

- a. ~~There shall be no clearing, grading, or new construction within 50 feet of the edge of all slopes that are classified as geologically hazardous areas. This 50 foot area may be reduced, as outlined in 16.20.070 (Variances), only if the applicant provides expert verification by a geotechnical engineer, as specified by the City Engineer, that demonstrates that the proposal will not increase slope instability, and that no other reasonable project alternative exists;~~

The following requirements shall apply to any land or vegetation modification or construction within a landslide hazard area and/or its buffer as described herein:

- a. Buffer Requirement: A buffer equal to the height of the slope or fifty (50) feet, whichever is greater, shall be established from all edges of a landslide hazard area except where no other reasonable alternative exists, reduction may be allowed as follows:

i. Buffer Reduction.

A. Buffer reductions may be allowed provided a critical areas report, pursuant to BIMC 16.20.150(D)(1)(2) and any other related section of BIMC 16.20, demonstrates to the Director that the buffer reduction will not reduce the level of protection to the proposed development, adjacent properties, and other associated critical areas as required by BIMC 16.20.150(E)(1).

B. For slopes forty (40) percent or greater the buffer may in no case be reduced to less than ten (10) feet. A decision by the Director to reduce the buffer shall be based on a critical areas report pursuant to BIMC 16.20.150(D)(1)(2) that includes a third-party independent review by a qualified geotechnical engineer pursuant to BIMC 16.20.150(D)(1)(d);

- ii. Increased Buffer. The buffer may be increased beyond that specified in subsection (a) above if the Director determines a larger buffer is necessary to prevent risk of damage to proposed development, adjacent development, and uses and the associated critical areas;

iii. Building Setback. All building and structures shall have a minimum setback of (15) feet from the outer edge of the buffer around landslide hazard areas to allow for construction activity; and

iv. Vegetation Retention. Unless otherwise provided or as part of an approved alteration, removal of vegetation from an erosion or landslide hazard area or related buffer shall be prohibited.

b. All development proposals shall be designed to avoid impacts to the geologically hazardous areas. The development shall be designed to minimize the footprint of building in other disturbed areas, minimize removal of vegetation, minimize topographic change, and retain open space to the maximum extent practicable;

c. Development design shall utilize clustering, under-structure parking, multi-level construction, and tiered foundations to the extent feasible to minimize impervious lot coverage, slope disturbance, and changes to the natural topography;

d. Access shall be in the least sensitive part of the site, and common access drives and utility corridors are required to the extent feasible;

e. Roads, walkways and parking areas shall be designed to parallel the natural contours to the extent feasible;

f. All proposed clearing and tree removal shall be marked in the field for inspection and approval prior to alteration of the site;

g. Cut and fill slopes shall be prepared and maintained to control against erosion and instability; and

h. Drainage and stormwater designs in zones of influence shall incorporate elements of low impact design, to the extent feasible, and shall be designed in such a manner that stormwater outlet discharges do not create additional impacts. The proposed activities shall not increase surface water discharge or sedimentation to adjacent properties beyond the pre-development condition; and

j. Landslide hazard areas on slopes forty (40) percent or greater shall only be altered as allowed by the exemptions listed in 16.20.150(F). A landslide or erosion hazard area, located on a slopes less than forty (40) percent, may only be altered pursuant to the standards of BIMC 16.20.150(E)(1).

“F. Exemptions. The following activities are permitted in geologically hazardous areas or associated buffers; provided, that the applicant can demonstrate that

applicable standards in Subsection (C) 16.20.150(E)(1) can be met, or where the applicant has demonstrated through a Critical Areas report prepared by a geotechnical engineer in accordance with the specifications of the City Engineer that no adverse impact will result from the proposal and where approved surface water drainage will result in minimum slope and vegetation disturbance:

1. Structural alteration to an existing legally constructed structure that does not increase the structural footprint and are determined by the City Engineer as having a minimal potential for increasing landslide hazard;

2. Surface Water Management: Slopes or buffers may be used for approved surface water conveyance if no other reasonable alternative route is available. Installation techniques shall minimize disturbance to the slope and vegetation.

1.3. The construction of approved public or private trails; provided, they are constructed of material, for example cable lift access, which will not contribute to surface water runoff;

2.4. The construction of public or private utility corridors or streets; provided, it has been demonstrated that such alterations will not increase landslide or erosion risks through required analysis pursuant to 16.20.150(D)(1) and the City determines that no other feasible alternative exists;

35 Select Vegetation Removal Activities. The following vegetation removal activities are allowed, provided that no vegetation shall be removed from a geologically hazardous area or its buffer without approval from the Director;

a. Removal of noxious weeds using non-motorized equipment or light equipment if approved by the Director. If herbicides are used to control these weeds, a licensed applicator is required, unless otherwise authorized by the Director. Bare areas remaining after weed removal shall be re-vegetated with native plant species pursuant to an enhancement plan approved by the City.

b. Removal and pruning of hazard trees as defined ISA (International Society of Arboriculture) standards by provided that a ISA certified arborist documents the hazard and provides a report to the Director for review and approval. The landowner shall replace any cut tree with three replacement trees (3:1 replacement ratio) six months prior to tree removal with trees at six feet in height and 4 inches in diameter, in accordance with an approved replacement plan. The Director may require a second opinion from a certified ISA arborist in cases of removal of hazard trees. Replacement trees shall be native species

indigenous to the vicinity and approved by the Director. Size of trees shall be approved by the Director. The landowner shall ensure 100% survival of replacement trees

4.6. The trimming and limbing of vegetation ~~and the removal of trees~~ for the creation and maintenance of view corridors in accordance with the pruning standards of the International Society of Arboriculture; provided, that the soils are not disturbed and activity will not increase the risk of landslide or erosion. ~~The thinning of limbs on individual trees is preferred to removal of trees for view corridors.~~ All vegetation removal must be based on a plan developed by a certified arborist and reviewed by a geotechnical engineer to determine if it will impact slope stability.

7. Limited Exemption - For landslide areas 40% or greater with a vertical elevation change of up to twenty (20) feet may be exempted from 16.20.150(E)(2)(i) based on the City review and acceptance of a Critical Areas report from a geologist or licensed geotechnical engineer in accordance with 16.20.150(D) when no adverse impact will result from the exemption.

16.20.160 Wetlands.

A. Purpose. This section applies to all regulated uses within or adjacent to areas designated as wetlands, as categorized in BIMC 16.20.160(B) below. The intent of this Section is listed in no specific priority, as follows:

1. Preserve, protect, restore, and improve wetland functions and values. Achieve no net loss and increase the quality of wetland acreage, functions, and values within the city. Mitigation measures, as conditions of permits, must have a reasonable expectation of success. Under the conditions of this Section, the Director may deny development proposals that would irreparably impact regulated wetlands; and

2. Protect the public's health, safety, and welfare, while preventing public expenditures that could arise from improper wetland uses and activities; and

3. Plan wetland uses and activities in a manner that protects and enhances the natural systems and environmental quality of Bainbridge Island and allows property holders to benefit from wetland property ownership wherever allowable under the conditions of this chapter; and

4. Preserve ecological functions and values of wetlands which provide water quality protection, natural flood control, stormwater storage, contributes to groundwater and stream flow, shoreline stabilization, and wildlife and fish habitat; and

5. Prevent turbidity and pollution of wetlands and fish or shellfish bearing waters, and maintain healthy wildlife habitat; and

6. Encourage land use development patterns that maintain, enhance, or restore natural wetland systems and protect disturbance-sensitive and wetland-dependent wildlife, fish resources, and open space; and

7. Protect and preserve wetlands values as natural areas providing aesthetic, recreational, and educational opportunities that need to be preserved for future generations; and

8. Enhance the connectivity between wetland landscapes.

B. Wetland Categories. For regulatory purposes, wetland delineations shall be determined by using the Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual, March 1997, or as amended hereafter.

The City uses the Department of Ecology's (DOE's) Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington, 2004, or as amended hereafter and adopted by the Director to categorize wetlands for the purposes of establishing wetland buffer widths, wetland uses and replacement ratios for wetlands. Once a wetland has been classified using the current DOE rating system, the City shall not reclassify the wetland without clearly documenting the reason for the change. If the wetland has a rating in the City GIS system, this rating can be used for regulatory purposes. This system consists of four wetland categories generally described as follows:

1. Category I wetlands are those that:

- a. Represent unique or rare wetland type; or
- b. Are more sensitive to disturbance than most wetlands; or
- c. Are relatively undisturbed and contain ecological attributes that are impossible to replace within a human lifetime; or
- d. Provide a high level of function.

Category I wetlands include estuarine wetlands larger than one acre, bogs, mature and old-growth wetlands over one acre, wetlands in coastal lagoons, and wetlands that perform many functions very well as demonstrated by a score of over 70 points using the DOE rating system.

2. Category II wetlands are difficult, though not impossible, to replace, and provide a high level of function. Category II wetlands include estuarine wetlands smaller than one acre or disturbed and larger than one acre and wetlands that perform functions well as demonstrated by a score of 51-69 using the DOE rating system.

3. Category III wetlands are wetlands with a moderate level of function as demonstrated by a score of 30-50 points using the DOE rating system.

4. Category IV wetlands have the lowest level of function as demonstrated by a score less than 30 points using the DOE rating system and are often heavily disturbed.

C. Regulated and Non-Regulated Wetlands Classification.

1. Regulated Wetlands:

a. All natural wetlands that meet the criteria in the Washington State Wetland Identification and Delineation manual and are greater than 1000 square feet.

b. Unintentionally created wetlands that meet the criteria in the Washington State Wetland Identification and Delineation manual except as listed in subsection (C)(2)(b) of this section.

c. Wetlands intentionally created from non-wetland areas to mitigate conversion of other wetlands.

d. Wetlands less than or equal to 1000 square feet if the wetland is associated with a riparian corridor or is part of a wetland mosaic, or contains habitat identified as

essential for local populations of priority species identified by the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife.

2. Non-Regulated Wetlands:

a. Wetlands less than or equal to 1000 square feet if the wetland is not associated with a riparian corridor or is not part of a wetland mosaic, or does not contain habitat identified as essential for local populations of priority species identified by the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife.

b. Created Wetlands. Wetlands created intentionally from a non-wetland site that was not required to be constructed as mitigation for adverse wetland impacts. These may include, but are not limited to, irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment ponds, farm ponds not contiguous, as defined in this chapter, and landscape amenities. The applicant shall bear the burden of proving that the wetland was intentionally created from a non-wetland site. Where enhancements or restorations are made to wetlands for purposes other than mitigation, the original rating shall be maintained even if the changes would otherwise result in a higher classification.

b. Recent, Road Construction Related Wetlands. Wetlands created after July 1, 1990, that were unintentionally created as a result of the construction of a road, street, or highway. The applicant shall bear the burden of proving that the wetland meets these criteria.

D. Development Standards.

1. Water quality buffers – An applicant shall provide the prescribed water quality buffers in this section (Tables 3-6) unless a Reasonable Use Exception is granted pursuant to BIMC 16.20.080.

2. Habitat buffers – An applicant shall provide either:

a. The prescribed habitat buffers specified in this section (Tables 3, 4, 5, and 6); or

b. An approved Habitat Management Plan, pursuant to BIMC 16.20.060, that clearly provides greater habitat functions and values in perpetuity than the prescribed habitat buffers in this section (Tables 3, 4, 5, and 6).

3. Buffers. Buffers shall remain undisturbed natural vegetation areas except where the buffer can be enhanced to improve its functional attributes. Any buffer enhancement and/or limited view clearing activity must be reviewed and approved by the Director. No refuse shall be placed in the buffer. Alteration of habitat buffer areas may be allowed for water dependent and water related activities and for development authorized by BIMC 16.20.040.C (Exemptions), or BIMC 16.20.040.D (Standards for Existing Development), or BIMC 16.20.050.B (Buffer Averaging), or BIMC 16.20.070 (Variances), or BIMC 16.20.080 (Reasonable Use Exception).

4. If a wetland meets more than one of the criteria listed in each table, the buffer needed to protect the wetland is the widest one.

Table 3: Category I Wetlands - Buffers

Wetland Characteristics	Impact of Land Use	Water Quality Buffer	Habitat Buffer	Total Buffer	Other Provisions
Natural Heritage Wetlands	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	75 ft 115 ft 150 ft	125 ft 190 ft 250 ft	No additional discharge of surface water. No septic systems within 300 ft. Restore degraded parts of the buffer
Bogs	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	75 ft 115 ft 150 ft	125 ft 190 ft 250 ft	No additional surface discharges. Restore degraded parts of the buffer.
Forested	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	75 ft 115 ft 150 ft	125 ft 190 ft 250 ft	If forested wetland scores high for habitat, maintain connectivity to other natural areas.
Estuarine	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	100 ft 150 ft 200 ft	N/A
Wetlands in Coastal Lagoon	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	100 ft 150 ft 200 ft	N/A
High level of function for habitat (score for habitat is 29-36 pts.)	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	100 ft 150 ft 200 ft	150 ft 225 ft 300 ft	Maintain connectivity to other natural areas. Restore degraded parts of the buffer.
Moderate level of function for habitat (score for habitat is 20-28 pts.)	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	25 ft 35 ft 50 ft	75 ft 110 ft 150 ft	N/A
High level of function for water quality improvement and low for habitat (score for water quality 24-32 pts.; habitat less than 20	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	0 ft 0 ft 0 ft	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	No additional discharges of untreated runoff.

Wetland Characteristics (pts.)	Impact of Land Use	Water Quality Buffer	Habitat Buffer	Total Buffer	Other Protection
Not meeting any of the above criteria.	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	0 ft 0 ft 0 ft	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	N/A

Table 4: Category II Wetlands - Buffers

Wetland Characteristics	Impact of Land Use	Water Quality Buffer	Habitat Buffer	Total Buffer	Other Protection
High level of function for habitat (score for habitat is 29-36 pts.)	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	100 ft 150 ft 200 ft	150 ft 225 ft 300 ft	Maintain connectivity to other natural areas.
Moderate level of function for habitat (score for habitat is 20-28 pts.)	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	25 ft 35 ft 50 ft	75 ft 110 ft 150 ft	N/A
Estuarine	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	25 ft 35 ft 15 ft	75 ft 110 ft 115 ft	N/A
Not meeting any of the above criteria	Low Moderate High	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	0 ft 0 ft 0 ft	50 ft 75 ft 100 ft	N/A

Table 5: Category III Wetlands - Buffers

Wetland Characteristics	Impact of Land Use	Water Quality Buffer	Habitat Buffer	Total Buffer	Other Protection
Moderate level of function for habitat (score for habitat is 20-28 pts.)	Low	40 ft	35 ft	75 ft	N/A
	Moderate	60 ft	50 ft	110 ft	
	High	80 ft	70 ft	150 ft	
Not meeting above criterion	Low	40 ft	0 ft	40 ft	N/A
	Moderate	60 ft	0 ft	60 ft	
	High	80 ft	0 ft	80 ft	

Table 6: Category IV Wetlands - Buffers

Wetland Characteristics	Impact of Land Use	Water Quality Buffer	Habitat Buffer	Total Buffer	Other Protection
Larger than 10,000 square feet	Low	25 ft	0 ft	25 ft	N/A
	Moderate	40 ft	0 ft	40 ft	
	High	50 ft	0 ft	50 ft	
Smaller than 10,000 square feet	Low	25 ft	0 ft	25 ft	N/A
	Moderate	25 ft	0 ft	25 ft	
	High	25 ft	0 ft	25 ft	

a. For Category II or III wetlands smaller than 10,000 square feet with a habitat score of less than 20 points, the buffer may be reduced by 50 percent.

b. For the purpose of determining the impact of land use, unless the Director determines a lesser level of impact is appropriate based on information provided by the applicant, the intensity of impact of the adjacent land use is determined based on the "impact of land use" definition.

5. If an applicant elects to propose an HMP, and that HMP proposes habitat buffer widths less than those prescribed in Tables 3 – 6, the HMP shall be prepared pursuant to BIMC 16.20.060 and fulfill all requirements specified therein.

6. Table 7 provides examples of measures that might be provided in an HMP or when prescribed buffers are otherwise altered with by Buffer Averaging (BIMC 16.20.050.B), Variance (BIMC 16.20.070), or Reasonable Use Exception (BIMC 16.20.080), to minimize impacts of certain activities. Other measures may also be effective in minimizing impacts depending on site-specific circumstances and the nature of proposed activity.

Table 7: Examples of measures to minimize impacts to wetlands from different types of activities.

Examples of Disturbance	Examples of Measures to Minimize Impacts	Activities that Cause the Disturbance
Lights	Direct lights away from wetland.	Parking lots, warehouses, manufacturing, residential
Noise	Locate activity that generates noise away from wetland.	Manufacturing, residential
Toxic runoff*	Route all new runoff away from wetland. Establish covenants limiting use of pesticides within 150 ft of wetland. Apply integrated pest management.	Parking lots, roads, manufacturing, residential areas, application of agricultural pesticides, landscaping
Change in water regime	Infiltrate or treat, detain, and disperse new runoff into buffer.	Impermeable surfaces, lawns, tilling
Pets	Plant dense vegetation around buffer, such as rose, hawthorn, etc.	Residential areas
Human disturbance	Plant buffer with impenetrable natural vegetation appropriate for region.	Residential areas
Dust	Utilize best management practices to control dust.	Tilled fields
* These examples are not necessarily adequate to meet the rules for minimizing toxic runoff if threatened or endangered species are present at the site.		

7. Small wetlands

a. Wetlands of less than 1,000 square feet are exempt from regulation of this section where the applicant has shown that they are not associated with a riparian corridor, are not part of a wetland mosaic, and do not contain habitat identified as essential for local populations of priority species identified by the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife.

b. All wetlands between 1,000 and 4,000 square feet shall be evaluated using Department of Ecology’s Wetland Rating System for Western Washington (2004) to establish category and evaluate functions. Using the following criteria, the Director shall determine whether to exempt wetlands between 1,000 and 4,000 square feet from the requirement to avoid impacts:

- i. The wetland is not associated with a riparian corridor; and

- ii. The wetland is not part of a wetland mosaic; and
- iii. The wetland does not score 20 points or more for habitat in the Wetland Rating System; and
- iv. The wetland does not contain habitat identified as essential for local populations of priority species identified by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife; and
- v. The wetland is substantially covered by invasive species or otherwise severely disturbed.

8. **Buffer Measurement.** All buffers shall be measured on a horizontal plane from the regulated wetland edge as marked in the field.

9. **Fencing and Signs.** This section applies to those wetlands and their buffers that are within 200 feet of regulated development activities.

a. Wetland buffers shall be temporarily fenced or otherwise suitably marked, as required by the Director, between the area where the construction activity occurs and the buffer. Fences shall be made of a durable protective barrier and shall be highly visible. Silt fences and plastic construction fences may be used to prevent encroachment on wetlands or their buffers by construction. Temporary fencing shall be removed after the site work has been completed and the site is fully stabilized per City approval.

b. The Director may require that permanent signs and/or fencing be placed on the common boundary between a wetland buffer and the adjacent land. Such signs will identify the wetland buffer. The Director may approve an alternate method of wetland and buffer identification, if it provides adequate protection to the wetland and buffer.

10. **Building or Impervious Surface Setback Lines.** A building or impervious surface setback line of 15 feet is required from the edge of any wetland buffer. Minor structural or impervious surface intrusions into the areas of the setback may be permitted if the Director determines that such intrusions will not adversely impact the wetland. The setback shall be identified on a site plan and filed as an attachment to the notice on title as required by Section 16.20.190 (Notice on Title).

E. **Regulated Uses And Activities.** New development activities on properties containing regulated wetlands and buffers are subject to the development standards in this section, as permitted in the underlying zoning designation. Requirements for additional activities are specified in Table 8. The City may grant exceptions to these uses and activities according to the intent and specifications of this chapter. All authorized uses and activities in a regulated wetland or its buffer shall be subject to conditions established by the Director and may be subject to mitigation as required by this chapter.

Development shall be classified as “allowed,” “permitted,” “special use” or “prohibited” according to this Section. Any regulated uses not specifically listed in Table 8 shall be considered unclassified and may be allowed if granted a special use review in accordance with this chapter. For the purpose of Table 8, “W” and “B” refer to the terms “wetland” and “buffer.”

Table 8: Regulated Uses and Activities in Regulated Wetlands and Buffers

	Category I		Category II		Category III		Category IV	
	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B
1. Agriculture-existing & ongoing	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
2. Agriculture-Building (Grazed Wet Meadows)	X	X	X	S	S	S	S	S
3. A) Agriculture Conversion (Wetland dependent)	X	S	X	S	S	S	S	S
B) (Non-wetland dependent)	X	S	X	S	X	S	X	S
4. Bank stabilization	X	X	S	S	S	S	P	P
5. Boat ramp	X	X	S	S	S	S	S	S
6. Dock/float	S	S	S	S	S	S	P	P
7. Draining Wetlands (associated with no other permitted use, except as allowed under Section 120.C)	X	N/A	X	N/A	X	N/A	X	N/A
8. Educational or Scientific Activities	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
9. Enhancement	S	S	P	P	P	P	P	P
10. Excavation (not associated with enhancement)	X	X	S	S	S	S	S	S
11. Fill (associated with no other use)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

	Category I		Category II		Category III		Category IV	
	W	P	W	P	W	P	W	P
12. Fish Hatchery	X	X	S	S	S	S	S	S
13. Flooding (associated with no other use)	X	X	S	S	S	S	S	S
14. Forest Practice-Class IV General or COHP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
15. Golf Course	X	X	X	X	S	S	S	S
16. Land Division	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
17. Mineral extraction	X	X	S	S	S	S	S	S
18. Parks Development-Public & Private	S	S	S	S	S	S	P	P
19. Ponds-Stock Watering	X	X	X	S	X	S	S	P
20. Public Facility	X	X	X	S	S	S	S	S
21. Public Project of Significant Importance	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
22. Radio/TV Towers	X	X	S	S	S	S	S	S
23. Restoration/Revegetation of Site	S	S	P	P	P	P	P	P
24. Road/Street-Public/Private Access								
A) Expand within existing ROW	S	S	S	S	S	S	P	P
B) New Facilities	X	X	S	S	S	S	S	S

	Category I		Category II		Category III		Category IV	
	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B
25. Signs- (Interpretation, Hazard, Critical Area Boundary, Survey Markers)	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
26. Site Investigation (non- mechanized)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Site Investigation (mechanized)	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
27. Stormwater, Private R/D Facility	X	X	X	S	S	S	S	S
28. Stormwater, Regional R/D Facility	X	X	X	S	S	S	S	S
29. Trails and Trail Related Facilities	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
30. Utility Facility	X	X	S	S	S	S	S	S
31. Utility-On- Site Sewage Facility	X	X	X	S	X	S	X	S
32. Utility Line- Overhead	S	S	S	S	S	S	P	P
33. Utility Line- Underground	X	S	S	S	S	S	S	S

Key: A = Allowed Outright P = Permitted Subject to Development Standards and Underlying Permit S = Special Use Review Required X = Prohibited

F. Additional development standards for regulated uses. In addition to meeting the Development Standards in section D, above, those regulated uses identified below shall also comply with the standards of this section and other applicable state, federal and local ordinances.

1. Docks. Construction of a dock, pier, moorage, float, or launch facility may be permitted where no existing buffer or wetland vegetation would be significantly altered.

2. Forest Practice, Class IV General, and Conversion Option Harvest Plans (COHPs). All timber harvesting and associated development activity, such as construction of roads, shall comply with the provisions of this chapter, including the maintenance of buffers around regulated wetlands.

3. Agricultural restrictions. In all development proposals which would permit introduction of agricultural uses, all regulated wetlands shall be avoided. These restrictions shall not apply to those regulated wetlands defined as grazed wet meadows, regardless of their classification, only where grazing has occurred within the last five (5) years. Wetlands shall be protected by installation of fencing located not closer than the outer buffer edge.

4. Road/street repair and construction: Any private or public road or street repair, maintenance, expansion or construction may be permitted, subject to the following standards:

a. No other reasonable or practicable alternative exists and the road or street crossing serves multiple properties whenever possible;

b. Publicly owned or maintained road or street crossings should provide for other purposes, such as utility crossings, pedestrian or bicycle easements, viewing points, etc.; and

c. The road or street repair and construction are the minimum necessary to provide safe roads and streets.

d. Mitigation shall be performed in accordance with specific project mitigation plan requirements.

5. Land Divisions and Land Use Permits. All land divisions and land uses proposed on a site that include regulated wetlands, shall comply with the procedures and standards listed below. When a parcel contains a wetland, City policy shall always be to primarily protect the functions and values of the wetland, while recognizing the value of the development rights provided to the property by its zoning.

a. Density Calculation.

i. The actual density that will allowed to be built upon a parcel containing a wetland shall ultimately be determined during the site specific review of the parcel's planned development.

ii. In determining the actual density of a parcel based on a specific site plan, the site plan shall locate all buildings outside of the wetland buffers;

iii. The number of development rights allowed for any residentially-zoned parcel shall be its size in square feet divided by the number of square feet per home that is required by its zoning;

iv. If the land can be subdivided such that all setbacks, buffers, and other zoning requirements can be observed, and no variances are requested, the density from the wetland can be transferred within the property;

v. To the extent that the number of allowable development rights cannot be used on-site, they may be sold, traded, or transferred by the property owner through the transfer of development rights program pursuant to Chapter 18.37 BIMC;

vi. Property owners may voluntarily extinguish development rights that are provided by the underlying zoning, but the City shall not extinguish any of these rights outside the aforementioned transactions.

b. Land division approvals shall be conditioned to require that regulated wetlands and regulated wetland buffers be designated as an easement or covenant encumbering the wetland and wetland buffer. Such easement or covenant shall be recorded together with the land division and represented on the final plat or binding site plan, and title.

c. In order to implement the goals and policies of this chapter, to accommodate innovation, creativity, and design flexibility, and to achieve a level of environmental protection that would not be possible by typical lot-by-lot development, the use of the clustered development or similar innovative site planning is strongly encouraged for projects with regulated wetlands on the site.

6. **Surface Water Management.** The following stormwater management activities may be allowed within wetland or buffer areas only if they meet the following requirements, in addition to the development standards in this section and in conformance with the Stormwater Management Ordinance, Chapter 15.20 BIMC:

a. Surface water discharges from stormwater facilities or structures; provided, that the new surface water discharges to regulated wetlands from retention/detention facilities;

b. Pre-settlement ponds or other surface water management structures; provided, that the discharge does not significantly increase or decrease the rate of flow and/or hydro-period, nor decrease the water quality of the wetland. Water quality treatment best management practices will be required prior to discharge. Pre-treatment of surface water discharge through biofiltration or other means shall be required.

7. **Trails and Trail-Related Facilities:** Construction of public and private trails and trail-related facilities, such as benches and viewing platforms, may be allowed in wetlands or wetland buffers pursuant to the following guidelines:

a. Trails and related facilities shall, to the extent feasible, be placed on existing road grades, utility corridors, or any other previously disturbed areas.

b. Trails and related facilities shall be planned to minimize removal of trees, soil disturbance, and existing hydrological characteristics, shrubs, snags, and important wildlife habitat.

c. Viewing platforms and benches, and access to them, shall be designed and located to minimize disturbance of wildlife habitat and/or critical characteristics of the affected wetland.

d. Trails and related facilities shall generally be located outside required buffers. Where trails are permitted within buffers they shall be located in the outer portion of the buffer and a minimum of 25 feet from the wetland edge, except where wetland crossings or viewing areas have been approved by the Director. Trail locations close to the wetland may be allowed if the primary purpose of the trail is wetland viewing or enjoyment.

e. Trails shall generally be limited to pedestrian use unless other more intensive uses, such as bike or horse trails, have been specifically allowed and mitigation

has been provided. Trail width shall not exceed five feet unless there is a demonstrated need, subject to review and approval by the Director. Trails shall be constructed with pervious materials unless otherwise approved by the Director.

8. Utilities in Wetlands or Wetland Buffers:

a. The utility maintenance authorized in BIMC 16.20.040(C) shall be allowed, subject to best management practices in wetlands and wetland buffers.

b. Construction of new utilities outside the road right-of-way or existing utility corridors may be permitted in wetlands or wetland buffers, only when no reasonable alternative location is available and the utility corridor meets the requirements for installation, replacement of vegetation and maintenance outlined below, and as required in the filing and approval of applicable permits and special reports required by this chapter.

c. Sewer or On-site Sewage Utility. Construction of sewer lines or on-site sewage systems may be permitted in regulated wetland buffers only when:

i. The applicant demonstrates it is necessary to meet state and/or local health code minimum design standards (not requiring a variance for either horizontal setback or vertical separation); and/or

ii. There are no other practicable or reasonable alternatives available and construction meets all other applicable requirements of this section and the special use review requirements pursuant to BIMC 16.20.160(G). Joint use of the sewer utility corridor by other utilities may be allowed.

d. New utility corridors shall not be allowed when the regulated wetland or buffer has known locations of federal or state listed endangered, threatened or sensitive species, heron rookeries or nesting sites of raptors which are listed as species of concern, except in those circumstances where an approved Habitat Management Plan indicates that the utility corridor will not significantly impact the wetland or wetland buffer;

e. New utility corridor construction and maintenance shall protect the regulated wetland and buffer environment by utilizing the following methods:

i. New utility corridors shall be aligned when possible to avoid cutting trees greater than 12 inches in diameter at breast height (four and one-half feet), measured on the uphill side.

ii. New utility corridors shall be revegetated with appropriate native or equivalent vegetation at preconstruction densities or greater, immediately upon completion of construction, or as soon thereafter as possible, if due to seasonal growing constraints. The utility shall ensure that such vegetation survives.

iii. Any additional utility corridor access for maintenance shall be provided as much as possible at specific points, rather than by parallel roads. If parallel roads are necessary, they shall be of a minimum width but no greater than 15 feet; and shall be contiguous to the location of the utility corridor on the side away from the wetland. Mitigation will be required for any additional access through restoration of vegetation in disturbed areas.

iv. The Director may require other additional mitigation measures.

f. Utility corridor maintenance shall include the following measures to protect the regulated wetland and buffer environment:

i. Where feasible, painting of utility equipment such as power towers shall not be sprayed or sandblasted, nor should lead-based paints be used.

ii. No pesticides, herbicides or fertilizers may be used in wetland areas or their buffers except those approved by the EPA and Ecology. Where approved, herbicides must be applied by a licensed applicator in accordance with the safe application practices on the label.

9. Parks. Development of public park and recreation facilities may be permitted; provided, that no alteration of wetlands or wetland buffers is allowed except for uses allowed in Table 8. For example, enhancement of wetlands and development of trails may be allowed in wetlands and wetland buffers subject to special use requirements and approval of a Wetland Mitigation Plan.

10. Educational or scientific activities. These activities shall only be permitted if they are directly related to the affected wetland and related buffers, and may include the viewing and sampling of natural systems. They may also include the installation of physical structures, including pervious trails, benches, permanent wildlife watching blinds, boardwalks, viewing platforms, or similar structures, or minor modifications to wetlands and their buffers. Any physical structures or minor modifications are subject to City approval to minimize the impacts of human intrusion on the functions and values of critical areas and their buffers according to the following criteria:

- a. Minimize the footprint of structures and the number of access points to any particular critical area;
- b. Minimize the amount of clearing and grading;
- c. Elevate structures where possible;
- d. Avoid impacting the flow of water;
- e. Use appropriate building materials; and
- f. Minimize the impacts of construction.

G. Special Use Review. Development identified as a Special Use Review in Table 8 of this section may be approved, with conditions, or denied according to the procedures and criteria outlined in this subsection. Special Use Review is an administrative process unless the underlying permit requires a public hearing.

1. The Director is authorized to take action on permits as required by this chapter.

2. The Director may approve a permit after review of the application and a Wetland Mitigation Plan submitted in accordance with this chapter. The Director shall determine whether the use or activity cannot be avoided because no reasonable or practicable alternative exists, the proposed use is consistent with the spirit and intent of this chapter and it will not cause adverse impacts to the wetland or the wetland buffer which cannot be mitigated. In taking action to approve a Special Use Review, the Director may attach reasonable conditions as necessary to minimize impacts, rectify impacts or compensate for impacts to the wetland or wetland buffer.

3. The Director shall deny a Special Use Review request if the proposed use or activity is inconsistent with this chapter and/or will cause adverse impacts to the wetland or wetland buffer, which cannot be adequately mitigated and/or avoided.

4. Special use review requests for agricultural conversions shall include a farm plan developed by the Kitsap Conservation District. The plan shall identify the best management practices for the proposed agricultural activity.

5. Special Use Review determinations are appealable to the hearings examiner pursuant to BIMC 2.16.130.

H. Wetlands and Streams Restoration, Creation, Mitigation, or Enhancement.

1. Any person who alters regulated wetlands or streams or their standard buffers as required by this chapter shall restore, create or enhance equivalent areas or greater areas than those altered in order to compensate for losses. In the alternative, conservation easements or mitigation banking may be considered as appropriate mitigation provided that areas equivalent to those altered are achieved.

2. Where feasible, restored or created wetlands and streams shall be a higher category than the altered wetland or stream.

3. Compensation areas shall be determined according to function, acreage, type, location, time factors, ability to be self-sustaining and projected success. Multiple compensation projects may be proposed for one project in order to best achieve the goal of no net loss.

4. Given the need for expertise and monitoring, voluntary restoration, creation or enhancement projects or compensatory projects may be permitted only when the Director finds that the proposed project is associated with an activity or development otherwise permitted. Additionally, the applicant shall:

a. Demonstrate sufficient scientific expertise, supervisory capability, and financial resources to carry out the project;

b. Demonstrate the capability for monitoring the site and to make corrections during this period if the project fails to meet projected goals and plans; and

c. Provide for the long-term protection and management of the compensation area to avoid further development or degradation.

5. Acreage Replacement Ratio. Any applicant proposing to alter wetlands may propose to reestablish, create, rehabilitate, or enhance wetlands in order to compensate for the wetland losses.

a. Replacement Ratios for Wetlands. Table 9 provides the required replacement ratios for the reestablishment or creation, rehabilitation, or enhancement of a wetland. The first number specifies the replacement acreage of wetlands and the second specifies the acreage of wetlands altered.

Table 9: Replacement Ratios for Wetlands

Wetland Category	Re-establishment or Creation	Rehabilitation	Enhancement	Mitigation
I - Forested	6:1	12:1	1:1 R/C 10:1 E	24:1
I - Highly functioning	4:1	8:1	1:1 R/C 6:1 E	16:1

I - Bog	Not possible	6:1 of a Bog	Case by Case	Case by Case
I - Estuarine	Case by Case	6:1 - Estuarine	Case by Case	Case by Case
II - Estuarine	Case by Case	4:1 - Estuarine	Case by Case	Case by Case
II - Others	3:1	8:1	1:1 R/C 4:1 E	12:1
III	2:1	4:1	1:1 R/C 2:1 E	8:1
IV	1.5:1	3:1	1:1 R/C 2:1 E	6:1

b. Replacement ratios for buffers shall be 1:1.

c. Increased Replacement Ratio. The Director may increase the ratios under the following circumstances:

i. Uncertainty as to the probable success of the proposed rehabilitation or creation;

ii. Significant period of time between destruction and replication of wetland functions; or

iii. Projected losses in functional value.

d. Decreased Replacement Ratio. The Director may decrease these ratios when there are findings of special studies coordinated with agencies with expertise which demonstrate that no net loss of wetland function or value is attained under the decreased ratio.

e. In all cases, a minimum acreage replacement ratio of 1:1 shall be required.

6. Wetland Type. In-kind compensation shall be provided except where the applicant can demonstrate that:

a. The wetland system is already significantly degraded and out-of-kind replacement will result in a wetland with greater functions and values;

b. Scientific problems such as invasive/exotic vegetation and changes in watershed hydrology make implementation of in-kind compensation impossible;

c. Out-of-kind replacement will best meet identified regional goals (e.g., replacement of historically diminished wetland types); and

d. Where out-of-kind replacement is accepted, greater acreage replacement ratios may be required to compensate for lost functions and values.

7. Location. On-site compensation shall be provided except where the applicant can demonstrate that:

a. The hydrology and ecosystem of the original wetland and those who benefit from the hydrology and ecosystem will not be substantially damaged by the on-site loss;

b. On-site compensation is not scientifically feasible due to problems with hydrology, soils, or other factors;

c. Compensation is not practical due to potentially adverse impacts from surrounding land uses;

d. Existing functions and values at the site of the proposed restoration are significantly greater than lost wetland functional values;

e. That established regional goals for flood storage, flood conveyance, habitat or other wetland functions have been established and strongly justify location of compensatory measures at another site;

f. There is no feasible location for on-site mitigation;

g. Off-site compensation shall occur within the same watershed, if feasible, as the wetland loss occurred; provided, that Category IV wetlands may be replaced outside of the watershed when there is no reasonable alternative; and

h. In selecting compensation sites, an applicant shall pursue siting in the following order of preference:

i. Upland sites which were formerly wetlands;

ii. Idled upland sites generally having bare ground or vegetative cover consisting primarily of invasive introduced species, weeds, or emergent vegetation; or

iii. Other disturbed upland.

8. **Timing.** Wherever feasible, compensatory projects shall be completed prior to activities that will disturb wetlands, and immediately after activities that will temporarily disturb wetlands. In all other cases, except for Category I wetlands, compensatory projects should be completed prior to use or occupancy of the activity or development which was conditioned upon such compensation. Construction of compensation projects shall be timed to reduce impacts to existing wildlife and flora.

9. **Cooperative Restoration, Creation or Enhancement Projects.** The Director may encourage, facilitate, and approve cooperative projects wherein a single applicant or other organization with demonstrated capability may undertake a compensation project with funding from other applicants under the following circumstances:

a. Restoration, creation or enhancement at a particular site may be scientifically difficult or impossible; or

b. Creation of one or several larger wetlands may be preferable to many small wetlands;

c. Persons proposing cooperative compensation projects shall:

i. Submit a joint permit application;

ii. Demonstrate compliance with all standards;

iii. Demonstrate the organizational and fiscal capability to act cooperatively; and

iv. Demonstrate that long-term management can and will be provided.

10. **Mitigation Banking.**

a. The City may consider and approve replacement or enhancement of wetlands to address unavoidable adverse impacts caused by development activities through an approved wetland mitigation bank. Compensatory mitigation in advance of authorized impacts must be provided through an approved mitigation bank if a bank is used.

b. When off-site mitigation is authorized, the Director shall give priority to locations within the same drainage basin as the development proposal site that meet the following:

i. Mitigation banking sites and resource mitigation reserves as authorized by this chapter;

ii. Private mitigation sites that are established in compliance with the requirements of this chapter and approved by the Director; and

iii. Public mitigation sites that have been ranked in a process that has been supported by ecological assessments.

c. The Director may require documentation that the mitigation site has been permanently preserved from future development or alteration that would be inconsistent with the functions of the mitigation. The documentation may include, but need not be limited to, a conservation easement, transfer of clearing credits or other agreement between the applicant and owner of the mitigation site. The City may enter into agreements or become a party to any easement or other agreement necessary to ensure that the site continues to exist in its mitigated condition.

d. The Director shall maintain a list of sites available for use for off-site mitigation projects.

e. The Director may develop a program to allow the payment of a fee in lieu of providing mitigation on a development site. The program should address:

i. When the payment of a fee is allowed, considering the availability of a site in geographic proximity with comparable hydrologic and biological functions and potential for future habitat fragmentation and degradation; and

ii. The use of the fees for mitigation on public or private sites that have been ranked according to ecological criteria through one or more programs that have included a public process.

16.20.170 The Winslow Ravine – Special Rules in Mixed Use Town Center.

A stream commonly known as the “Winslow Ravine Stream” is located in a ravine commonly known as, and identified on department maps as, the “Winslow Ravine”. Both the stream and the ravine are partially located in the Mixed Use Town Center (MUTC). In order to accommodate more dense development within the MUTC, and recognizing the significant distance from the top of the ravine to the stream and its adjacent wetlands, in lieu of the buffer and setback rules provided for Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas (BIMC 16.20.130) and Wetlands (BIMC 16.20.160), the applicant may select the prescriptive option or the mitigated option with respect to the Winslow Ravine Stream and the Winslow Ravine within the MUTC as described below:

A. “Option A” - Prescriptive Standards.

CATEGORIES	BUFFER WIDTH STANDARD	MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACK	OTHER DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Streams, Wetlands and Wildlife Conservation Areas associated with the Winslow Ravine or Winslow Ravine	50 feet beyond the top of the Winslow Ravine.	15 feet beyond the buffer.	

Stream within the MUTC.			
----------------------------	--	--	--

B. "Option B" - Mitigated Standards. The applicant shall demonstrate by submittal of necessary studies and proposed mitigation, that measures can and will be taken to ensure that the functions and values provided by the buffers prescribed under "Option A" are retained or improved.

CATEGORIES	BUFFER WIDTH STANDARD	MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACK	OTHER DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Streams, Wetlands and Wildlife Conservation Areas associated with the Winslow Ravine or Winslow Ravine Stream within the MUTC.	25 feet beyond the top of the Winslow Ravine.	10 feet beyond the buffer.	The buffer area shall be landscaped to facilitate filtration and infiltration of storm water. If such landscaping is installed, the buffer area may be used for recreational purposes. Fences, sitting areas and walking paths are allowed within the buffer area. There is no requirement that the buffer be dedicated as permanent open space tracts or otherwise.

16.20.180 Performance and maintenance surety.

A. Performance Surety. The Director shall decide when a performance surety is required of an applicant, and the acceptable form of such surety. The amount and the conditions of the surety shall be consistent with the purposes of this chapter; provided, that the minimum amount of the surety, when required, shall be 125% of the estimated cost of performance. A performance surety shall not be required when the actual cost of performance, as documented in a form acceptable to the Director, is less than \$1,000. The Director shall release the surety upon determining that:

1. All activities, including any required compensatory mitigation, have been completed in compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit and the requirements of this chapter;
2. A maintenance surety has been posted by the applicant, where deemed appropriate by the Director.

Until such written release of the surety, the principal or surety cannot be terminated or canceled.

B. Maintenance Surety. When a maintenance surety is required, the holder of a development permit issued pursuant to this chapter shall post a surety for an amount and in a form acceptable to the Director, with conditions sufficient to guarantee that maintenance of structures, improvements, and mitigation required by the permit or by this chapter perform satisfactorily for a minimum of two years after they have been completed. The Director shall release the maintenance surety upon determining that performance standards established for evaluating the effectiveness and success of the structures, improvements, and/or compensatory mitigation have been satisfactorily met

for the required period. For compensation projects, the performance standards shall be those contained in the mitigation plan developed and approved during the review process. The maintenance surety applicable to a compensation project shall not be released until the Director determines that performance standards established for evaluating the effect and success of the project have been met.

C. **Deposit Instruments.** The deposit instrument for the performance or warranty deposit shall be cash, a non-revocable letter of credit, an assignment of funds, bond or other readily accessible source of funds approved by the Director. The deposit instruments shall be on a form acceptable to the Director. Any issuer of a deposit instrument shall pay invoices presented by the City pursuant to this chapter within 45 days. A bond will be accepted only for amounts over \$1,000.00 or when State Law requires a bond. Interest on deposited funds will accrue to the benefit of the depositor, except that any interest earned on a cash deposit will be retained by the City for account administration.

D. **Default on deposit.**

1. If the work covered by the deposit is not completed within the time specified in the notice given under this chapter, the City shall obtain the proceeds of the deposit and do the work covered by the deposit. The City may either have its employees or a contractor do the work.

2. If, at any time, the Director determines that actions or inactions associated with permit work have created an emergency situation endangering public health, safety, or welfare, creating a potential liability for the City, or such an emergency precludes the notification of applicants as provided in this chapter while still minimizing or avoiding the effects of the emergency, the City may use the deposit to cover costs incurred by the City to correct the emergency situation. The City may either have employees of the City or a contractor do the work. If the City uses the deposit as provided by this section, the applicant shall be notified in writing within four working days of the commencement of emergency work. The notice must state the work that was completed and the nature or timing of the emergency that necessitated the use of the deposit without prior notification.

3. The permittee is responsible for all costs incurred by the City in doing the work covered by the deposit. The City shall release or refund any proceeds of a deposit remaining after subtracting all costs incurred. The permittee shall reimburse the City for any amount expended by the City that exceeds the deposit.

4. If the City uses any of the deposit it shall, within 30 days, provide the owner of the permit an itemized statement of all proceeds and funds used.

16.20.190 Notice on title.

A. The owner of any property with field-verified presence of critical area or buffer on which a development proposal is submitted shall file for record with the Kitsap County auditor a notice approved by the Director in a form substantially as set forth in Subsection B of this Section. Such notice shall provide notice in the public record of the presence of a critical area and buffer, the application of this chapter to the property, and that limitations on actions in or affecting such areas may exist. The applicant shall submit proof that the notice has been filed for record before the City shall approve any development proposal for such site. The notice shall run with the land and failure to

provide such notice to any purchaser prior to transferring any interest in the property shall be in violation of this chapter.

B. Form of Notice.

Critical Areas
and/or
Critical Areas Buffer Notice

Legal

Description: _____

Present owner: _____

NOTICE: This property contains critical areas or their buffers as defined by the City of Bainbridge Island Ordinance No. _____. The property

was the subject of a development proposal for

(type of permit) application # _____ filed on (date) _____.

Restrictions on use or alteration of the critical areas or their buffers may exist due to natural conditions of the property and resulting regulations. Review of such application has provided information on the location of critical areas or critical area buffers and restrictions on their use through setback areas. A copy of the plan showing such setback areas and other restrictions or required enhancements is attached hereto.

Signature of owner _____

STATE OF WASHINGTON)

COUNTY OF _____)

On this day personally appeared before me to me known to be the individual(s) described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes therein stated.

Given under my hand and official seal this ____ day of _____, _____, NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the state of Washington, residing at _____.

16.20.200 Compliance and enforcement.

A. It is a violation of this chapter for any person to fail to comply with the requirements of this chapter. It is further a violation of this chapter for any person to:

1. Initiate or maintain, or cause to be initiated or maintained, the use, construction, placement, alteration, or demolition of any structure, land, or property within the city without first obtaining permits or authorizations required by this chapter, or in a manner that violates the terms or conditions of such permits or authorizations;

2. Misrepresent any material fact in any application, plans or other information submitted to obtain permits or authorizations under this chapter; or

3. Remove or deface any sign, notice, complaint, or order required by or posted in accordance with this chapter.

B. When a critical area or its buffer has been altered in violation of this chapter, all ongoing development work shall stop and the critical area shall be restored. The City shall have the authority to issue a stop work order to cease all ongoing development work, and order restoration, rehabilitation, or replacement measures at the owner's or other responsible party's expense to compensate for violation of provisions of this chapter.

C. Restoration plan required. All development work shall remain stopped until a restoration plan is prepared and approved by the Director. Such a plan shall be prepared by a qualified professional using the best available science and shall describe how the actions proposed meet the minimum requirements described in Subsection (D) below. The Director shall, at the violator's expense, seek expert advice in determining the adequacy of the plan. Inadequate plans shall be returned to the applicant or violator for revision and resubmittal.

D. Minimum performance standard for restoration.

1. For alterations to critical aquifer recharge areas, frequently flooded areas, wetlands, and habitat conservation areas, the following minimum performance standards shall be met for the restoration of a critical area; provided, that if the violator can demonstrate that greater functional and habitat values can be obtained, these standards may be modified:

- a. The historic structural and functional values shall be restored, including water quality and habitat functions;
- b. The historic soil types and configuration shall be replicated;
- c. The critical area and buffers shall be replanted with native vegetation that replicates the vegetation historically found on the site in species types, sizes, and densities. The historic functions and values should be replicated at the location of the alteration; and
- d. Information demonstrating compliance with the requirements in Section BIMC 16.20.110 shall be submitted to the Director.

2. For alterations to flood and geological hazard areas, the following minimum performance standards shall be met for the restoration of a critical area; provided, that if the violator can demonstrate that greater safety can be obtained, these standards may be modified:

- a. The hazard shall be reduced to a level equal to, or less than, the pre-development hazard;
- b. Any risk of personal injury resulting from the alteration shall be eliminated or minimized; and
- c. The hazard area and buffers shall be replanted with native vegetation sufficient to minimize the hazard.

3. All restoration plans shall include a detailed estimate of the cost for implementation of the restoration plan.

E. Site investigations. The Director is authorized to make site inspections and take such actions as are necessary to enforce this chapter. The Director shall present proper

credentials and make a reasonable effort to contact any property owner before entering onto private property.

F. Penalties. Any development carried out contrary to the provisions of this chapter shall constitute a public nuisance and may be enjoined as provided by the statutes of the State of Washington. Enforcement of this chapter and the imposition of penalties for violations of this chapter shall be as provided for in Chapter 1.26 BIMC; provided, that in addition to the civil penalties provided for in BIMC 1.26.090, an additional penalty shall be imposed on any person, party, firm, corporation, property owner, or other legal entity who fails to complete a required restoration plan or who conducts any willful disturbance (including cutting vegetation) of a critical area or its associated buffer in violation of this chapter. For such violations, the additional penalty shall be in the amount equal to 200% of the cost of restoration as approved under a restoration plan pursuant to Subsections (C) and (D) above. Any person, party, firm, corporation, or other legal entity who knowingly and willfully refuses to complete a required restoration pursuant to Subsections (C) and (D) above shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by not more than 30 days in jail and/or not more than a \$1,000 fine.

16.20.210 Suspension – Revocation.

In addition to other penalties provided for elsewhere, the Director may suspend or revoke a permit if it is found that the applicant or permittee has not complied with any or all of the conditions or limitations set forth in accordance with this chapter, has exceeded the scope of work set forth in the permit, or has failed to undertake the project in the manner set forth in the approved application.

16.20.220 Assessment relief.

The City of Bainbridge Island, in imposing special assessments, shall take into account the easements or perpetual conservation restrictions in critical areas.

16.20.230 Other laws and regulations.

No permit granted pursuant to this chapter shall remove an applicant's obligation to comply in all respects with the applicable provisions of any other federal, state, or local law or regulation.

16.20.240 Conflict.

A. General. When any provision of any other chapter of the BIMC conflicts with this chapter (or any existing regulations, easements, covenants, or deed restrictions), that which provides more protection to critical areas shall apply.

B. Shoreline Master Program. In case of conflict between the provisions of this chapter and the provisions of the Shoreline Master Program, Chapter 16.12 BIMC, the provisions of the Shoreline Master Program, Chapter 16.12 BIMC, shall prevail unless the Critical Areas Ordinance provides greater protection for critical areas. However, the classification or designation of any of the shoreline of Bainbridge Island shall not change from what it is under the Shoreline Master Program as a result of any provisions of the Critical Areas Ordinance.

16.20.250 Severability.

If any clause, sentence, paragraph, section or part of this chapter or the application thereof to any person or circumstances shall be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, such order or judgment shall be confined in its operation to the controversy in which it was rendered and shall not affect or invalidate the remainder of any part thereof to any other person or circumstances and to this end the provisions of each clause, sentence, paragraph, section or part of this law are hereby declared to be severable.

Chapter 16.20 - Exhibit A
Table I – Shoreline Study Requirements

All Activities below Mean Higher High Water	Dive Survey and/or Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment ¹
Pier and Docks	Dive Survey and/or Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment ¹
Shoreline Armoring (bulkhead and revetments)	Sediment analyses Biological Evaluation or Biological Assessment ¹
Development Activities Disturbing Native Vegetation Zone (Single Family Residences or Commercial)	Re-vegetation Plan

Footnotes:

¹Only as required by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, US Army Corp of Engineers, NOAA Fisheries or US Fish and Wildlife.

Additional Studies may be required by the Director as necessary to determine whether the application meets the decision criteria for Shoreline Substantial Development Permit, Shoreline Substantial Development Exemption, Shoreline Conditional Use Permit or Shoreline Variance.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall take effect on February 15, 2006.

PASSED by the City Council this _____ day of _____, 2005.

APPROVED by the Mayor this _____ day of _____, 2005.

DARLENE KORDONOWY, Mayor

ATTEST/AUTHENTICATE:

SUE P. KASPER, City Clerk

FILED WITH THE CITY CLERK:	_____	2005
PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL:	_____	2005
PUBLISHED:	_____	2005
EFFECTIVE DATE:	_____	2005
ORDINANCE NUMBER:	2005-03	

Wetland Consultants

<i>Last Name</i>	<i>First Name</i>	<i>Organization Name</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Postal Code</i>	<i>Work Phone</i>
Anderson	Paul	Parametrix	411 108th Avenue, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004-5571	(425) 458-6200
Bagsshaw	Suzanne	Sheldon and Associates	5031 University Way NE Rm# 204	Seattle	98105-4341	(206) 522-1214
Bartlett	Joanne	Williamood Associates	1015 SW Harper Road	Port Orchard	98367	(360) 876-2403
Berberg	AJ	Bredberg and Associates, Inc.	PO Box 1337	Gig Harbor	98335	(253) 856-0515
Berntsen	Lisa	GeoEngineers	1550 Woodridge Dr SE	Port Orchard	98366	360-769-8400
Bonoff	Michael		5711 Old Mill Road	Bainbridge Island	98110	(206) 842-5409
Boule	Marc	AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc.	11335 NE 122nd Way, Suite 100	Kirkland	98034-6919	(425) 820-4669
Brooks	Kenneth	Aquatic Environmental Sciences	644 Old Eaglemount Road	Port Townsend	98368	(360) 732-4464
Callaghan	Joe	GeoEngineers	1550 Woodridge Dr SE	Port Orchard	98366	360-769-8400
Carr	Christy		9198 NE Hidden Cove Road	Bainbridge Island	98110	(206) 780-8455
Cassin	Jan	Parametrix	411 108th Avenue, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004-5571	(425) 458-6200
Christensen	Christy	Alkal Consultants, LLC	9465 Provoost Rd, Suite 202	Silverdale	98383	(360) 613-2407
Creveling	Jennifer	The Waterford Company	1410 Market Street	Kirkland	98033	(425) 822-5242
Danielaki	Lisa	Raedake Associates, Inc.	5711 Northeast 63rd Street	Seattle	98115	(206) 525-8122
Douglas	Calvin	Anchor Environmental, LLC	1423 3rd Avenue, Suite 300	Seattle	98101	(206) 267-9130
Every	Dave	URS Corporation	1501 4th Avenue, Suite 1400	Seattle	98101-1616	(206) 438-2700
Graham	Krista	Oasis Environmental	755 Winslow Way E., Suite 105	Bainbridge Island	98110	(206) 842-5398
Gresham	Doug	Sheldon and Associates, Inc.	5031 University Way NE Rm# 204	Seattle	98105-4341	(206) 522-1214
Hasthiaki	Sono	Springwood Associates, Inc.	3644 Albion Place North	Seattle	98103	(206) 545-1117

<i>Last Name</i>	<i>First Name</i>	<i>Organization Name</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Postal Code</i>	<i>Work Phone</i>
Hastinger	Hedi	Pacific Willow Consulting	PO Box 596	Rainier	98576	(360) 894-4884
Hennessey	Diane	Herrera Environmental Consultants	2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100	Seattle	98121	(206) 441-9080
Huston	Frederick	Evergreen Aquatic Resource Cons	4020 Lake Washington Boulevard NE, Suite 101	Kirkland	98033	(425) 576-0360
Ihmer	George	Herrera Environmental Consultants	2200 Sixth Avenue	Seattle	98121	(206) 441-9080
Kelly	Val Spooner	Raedeke Associates, Inc.	5711 Northeast 63rd Street	Seattle	98115	(206) 525-8122
Kleinl	Bill	Parametrix	411 108th Avenue, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
Krippner	Linda	Adolfson Associates, Inc.	5309 Shilshole Avenue NW, Suite 200	Seattle	98107	(206) 789-9658
Lepine	Kris	Herrera Environmental Consultants	2200 Sixth Ave, Suite 1100	Seattle	98121	(206) 441-9080
Llewellyn	Dixie	Olympic Wetland Resources, Inc.	856 50th St.	Port Townsend	98368	(360) 385-6432
Louther	Marti	Parametrix	411 108th Avenue, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
Lundquist	Richard W.	Raedeke & Associates, Inc	5711 NE 63rd St.	Seattle	98115	(206) 525-8122
McArthur	Kerrie	MCS Environmental, Inc.	6505 - 216th Street SW, Suite 100	Mountlake Terrace	98043	(425) 697-4340
McDowell	K. Michael	MCS Environmental, Inc.	6505 - 216th Street SW, Suite 100	Mountlake Terrace	98043	(425) 697-4340
Meyer	Jeff	Parametrix	411 108th Avenue, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
Mortensen	Hugh	The Watershed Company	1410 Market Street	Kirkland	98033-0919	(425) 822-5242
Muscarl	Michael	Pacific Northwest Environmental	2031 84th Place West	Edmonds	98026	425-876-4376
Myers	Rian	Myers Biodynamics	11254 Sunrise Drive NE	Bainbridge Island	98110	(206) 842-6073
Myers	Amy	The Watershed Company	1410 Market Street	Kirkland	98033-0918	(425) 822-5242
Pedersen	Mark	AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc.	11335 NE 122nd Way, Suite 100	Kirkland	98034-6919	(425) 820-4669
Pritchard	G. Emmett	Raedeke Associates, Inc.	5711 Northeast 63rd Street	Seattle	98115	(206) 525-8122
Sheldon	Dyanne	Sheldon and Associates, Inc.	5031 University Way, #5	Seattle	98105	(206) 522-1214

<i>Last Name</i>	<i>First Name</i>	<i>Organization Name</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Postal Code</i>	<i>Work Phone</i>
Slitting	Bruce	GeoEngineers	1550 Woodridge Dr SE	Port Orchard	98366	360-377-5400
Super	Peter	Evergreen Aquatic Resource Cons	4020 Lake Washington Boulevard NE, Suite 101	Kirkland	98033	(425) 576-0362
Thiele	Brad		3639 Palatine Ave N	Seattle	98101	(206) 234-2520
Tomassi	Suzanne	The Watershed Company	1410 Market Street	Kirkland	98033-0918	(425) 822-5242
Vanbianchi	Ronald	Springwood Associates	3644 Alton Place N.	Seattle	98103	(206) 545-1107
Vanderburg	Teresa	Adolfson Associates, Inc	5309 Shilshole Ave. NW, Suite 200	Seattle	98107	(206) 789-9684
Walker	Jeff	UPS Corporation	1501 4th Avenue, Suite 1400	Seattle	98101-1616	(206) 438-2700
Worley	Colin	Parametrix	411 108th Avenue, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
Wozniak	Josh	Herrera Environmental Consultants	2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100	Seattle	98121	(206) 441-9080
Wright	Christopher W.	Raedtke Associates, Inc.	5711 Northeast 63rd Street	Seattle	98115	(206) 525-8122
Zemke	Lizzie	Adolfson Associates, Inc.	5309 Shilshole Avenue NW, Suite 200	Seattle	98107	(206) 789-9658

Wildlife Consultants

<i>Last Name</i>	<i>First</i>	<i>Organization</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Postal Code</i>	<i>Work Phone</i>
Anderson	Paul	Parametrix	411 108th Ave NE, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
Creeching	Jennifer	The Watershed Company	1410 Market Street	Kirkland	98033-0918	(206) 822-5242
Engel	Kate	Parametrix	411 108th Ave NE, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
Griakou	Julie	Parametrix	411 108th Ave NE, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
Gunther	Pam	Parametrix	411 108th Ave NE, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
Hennessey	Diane	Herrera Environmental Consultants	2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100	Seattle	98121	(206) 441-9080
Herber	Dale	Raedtke Associates, Inc.	5711 Northeast 63rd Street	Seattle	98115	(206) 525-8122
Kidder	Bill	URS Corporation	1501 4th Avenue, Suite 1400	Seattle	98101-1616	(206) 438-2700
Krueger	Steven	Adotson Associates, Inc.	5309 Smithhole Avenue NW	Seattle	98107	(206)
Louther	Marti	Parametrix	411 108th Ave NE, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
Lundquist	Richard W.	Raedtke Associates, Inc.	5711 Northeast 63rd Street	Seattle	98115	(206) 525-8122
Malin	Devin R.	Devine, Tarbell & Associates, Inc.	1111 North Front Street	Bellevue	98225	(360) 485-5668
Merriman	Joel W.	Raedtke Associates, Inc.	5711 Northeast 63rd Street	Seattle	98115	(206) 525-8122
Myers	Rian	Myers Biodynamics, Inc.	11254 Sunrise Drive NE	Bainbridge Island	98110	(206) 842-6073
Myers	Amy	The Watershed Company	1410 Market Street	Kirkland	98033-0918	(425) 822-5242
Nyman	Stephen	Devine, Tarbell & Associates, Inc.	1111 Front Street	Bellevue	98225	(360) 671-1150
O'Brien	Kevin	Sheldon & Associates, Inc.	5031 University Way NE Rm# 204	Seattle	98105-4341	(206) 522-1214
Prebare	Jennifer	URS Corporation	1501 4th Avenue, Suite 1400	Seattle	98101-1616	(206) 438-2700
Raedtke	Kenneth	Raedtke and Associates	5711 Northeast 63rd Street	Seattle	98115	(206) 525-8122

<i>Last Name</i>	<i>First</i>	<i>Organization</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Postal Code</i>	<i>Work Phone</i>
Stirling	Bruce	GeoEngineers	1550 Woodidge Dr SE	Port Orchard	98366	360-769-8400
Tommasi	Suzanne	The Watershed Company	1410 Market Street	Kirkland	98033-09818	(425) 822-5242
Wozniak	Josh	Herrera Environmental Consultants	2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100	Seattle	98121	(206) 441-9080

Fisheries Biologists I

<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Middle Name</i>	<i>Organization Name</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Postal Code</i>	<i>Work Phone</i>
Balla-Hotten	Andrea	URS Corporation	1501 4th Avenue, Suite 1400	Seattle	98101-1616	(206) 438-2700
Brooks	Kenneth	Aquatic Environment	644 Old Englemount Road	Port Townsend	98366	(360) 732-4464
Carrasquero-Verde	Jose	Herrera Environment	2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100	Seattle	98121	(206) 441-9080
Daley	Wayne	Daley Design	1648 Jernette Pl	Bainbridge Island	98110	206-842-8195
Hennessey	Diane	Herrera Environment	2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100	Seattle	98121	(206) 441-9080
Krueger	Steven	Adolfsen Associates,	5309 Sullyhole Avenue, Suite 200	Seattle	98107	(206) 789-9658
Lawson	Pete	Paramatrix	411 108th Ave NE, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
McArthur	Kemie	MCS Environmental, I	6505 - 216th Street SW, Suite 100	Mountlake Terrace	98043	(425) 897-4340
McDowell	K. Michael	MCS Environmental, I	6505 - 216th Street SW, Suite 100	Mountlake Terrace	98043	(425) 897-4340
Nelson	Rob	URS Corporation	1501 14th Avenue, Suite 1400	Seattle	98101-1616	(206) 438-2700
Schlenger	Paul	Anchor Environments	1423 3rd Avenue, Suite 300	Seattle	98101	(206) 287-9130
Scholz	Jenna	Shelton and Associat	5031 University Way NE Rm# 204	Seattle	98105-4341	(206) 522-1214
Steward	Cleveland R.	Steward and Associat	120 Avenue A, Suite D	Snohomish	98290	(360) 852-1255
Stuart	Robert	MCS Environmental, I	6505 - 216th Street SW, Suite 100	Mountlake Terrace	98043	(425) 697-4340
Sullivan	Bob	Paramatrix	411 108th Ave NE, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200
Westkamp	Don	Paramatrix	411 108th Ave NE, Suite 1800	Bellevue	98004	(425) 458-6200

